# BOARD OF ZONING APPEALS <br> -AGENDA- <br> Thursday, October 1, 2020 at 7:00 P.M. 

This will be a virtual meeting that will be streamed on the internet: worthington.org/live

## A. Call to Order - 7:00 pm

1. Roll Call
2. Approval of minutes of the September 3, 2020 meeting

## B. Items of Public Hearing

1. Temporary Use Permit - Recreational Facility - $\mathbf{6 5 8 0}$ Huntley Rd. (Gary Moore) TUP 012020
2. Variance - Side Yard Setback - Fence - $\mathbf{7 4}$ Orchard Dr. (Peter \& Joan Macrae) BZA 362020
3. Variance - Front Yard Setback - Handrails - 98 E. New England Ave. (Mark \& Susan Taylor) BZA 37-2020
4. Variance - Rear Yard Setback - Screen Room - $\mathbf{1 1 7 7}$ Macgregor West Ave. (Kristen \& Benjamin Buss) BZA 38-2020
5. Variance - Front Yard Setback - Front Porch - $\mathbf{7 8 4}$ Oxford St. (James Ross/Riley) BZA 392020
6. Variance - Setback from Alley - Fence - $\mathbf{5 7 1 6}$ Foster Ave. (John S. Jones) BZA 40-2020
7. Variance - Side Yard Setback - Garage - $\mathbf{6 5 5}$ Hartford St. (James Ross/Mullen) BZA 412020
8. Variances - Front \& Side Yard Setback - Addition - 566 Park Blvd. (Jonathan Leonard, Architect/Payne) BZA 42-2020
9. Variance - Rear Yard Setback - Addition - $\mathbf{6 6 4 2}$ Masefield St. (James Ross/Williams) BZA 43-2020
10. Variances - Signage - $\mathbf{6 1 3 0}$ Linworth Rd. (Gondal Linworth LLC) BZA 44-2020
C. Other
D. Adjournment

MEMORANDUM

TO: Members of the Board of Zoning Appeals
FROM: $\quad$ R. Lee Brown, Director of Planning \& Building Lynda Bitar, Planning Coordinator

DATE: September 25, 2020
SUBJECT: $\quad$ Staff Memo for the Meeting of October 1, 2020

## B. Items of Public Hearing

1. Temporary Use Permit - Recreational Facility - $\mathbf{6 5 8 0}$ Huntley Rd. (Gary Moore) TUP 012020

## Findings of Fact \& Conclusions

## Background \& Request:

This 2.58 -acre property is located in the I-2 (General Industrial) Zoning District on the east side of Huntley Rd. The property was formerly Tropical Nut \& Fruit and is now the new home of SuperGames since their relocation from Lakeview Plaza in 2019. SuperGames operates as a portable events program that provides fun and interactive activities for groups of virtually any size. With giant inflatables, portable climbing walls, mobile ziplines and the hottest new games, we instantly transform any event into an amazing experience. SuperGames changed their business model when they moved from Lakeview Plaza to Huntley Rd. to hosting only offsite events.

The applicant has applied for a Temporary Use Permit to temporarily use a private indoor pickleball court to the public for additional revenue for 6-months with the option for an additional 6-months. Pickleball is the fastest growing sport in America and is a sport that can be played by all ages. Seniors are the predominate age group, however it is increasingly expanding to all age groups. Pickleball is played on a small footprint, instead of four people on a tennis court, you can get two courts on one tennis court. When SuperGames moved to 6580 Huntley Rd., there was approximately 5,000 sq. ft. open for future expansion. The employees constructed two temporary pickleball courts for personal recreational use. This portion of the building is isolated from the rest of the warehouse and will have a separate access for those wanting to
utilize the pickleball courts. The applicant states that this would be the only indoor dedicated pickleball court in Ohio. A recreational use is not permitted in the I-2 District (General Industrial) and can only be granted as a Temporary Use Permit reviewed and approved by the Board of Zoning Appeals.

## BZA History:

- December 5, 2019 - Variance for oversized signage approved by the Board.
- May 7, 2020 - Variance for decorative wood fencing in the front yard setback approved by the Board.


## Worthington Codified Ordinances:

Section 1129.05(b)(5) Temporary Use Permits - A temporary use permit may be granted where the temporary use of a structure or premises in any district where such temporary use shall be for a period of more than ninety days is proposed for a purpose or use that does not conform to the regulations prescribed elsewhere in this Zoning Ordinance for the district in which it is located, provided that such use be of a temporary nature and does not involve the erection of a substantial structure. A temporary use permit for such use shall be granted in the form of a temporary and revocable permit, for not more than a six-month period, subject to a six months' renewal and such conditions as will safeguard the public health, safety, convenience and general welfare.

## Request:

The applicant has applied for a Temporary Use Permit to temporarily use a private indoor pickleball court for the public for additional revenue for 6-months with the option for an additional 6-months. SuperGames currently has two existing pickleball courts in their warehouse that is used by employees.

## Conclusions:

The hours of operation will be 7-days a week with weekday hours from 7:00 AM to 8:00 PM and weekend hours from 7:00 AM to 8:00 PM and limited to 12 participants.

No food trucks or outdoor public areas are approved as part of this request.
No signage has been proposed. The applicant states that signage is not needed. Any future signage would need to be reviewed and approved to be in compliance with the Planning \& Zoning Code.

The parking demands associated with 12-participants will not negatively impact the existing parking needs on the site. Parking for the temporary use is located on the north side of the building near the entrance to the pickleball courts.

The applicant will be required to be in compliance with the Ohio Building Code as part of their temporary approval for a recreational use. A fire safety and evacuation plan are required by the Division of Fire and will be finalized and approved by the Division of Fire as part of their approval.

The proposed Temporary Use Permit is the best option to temporarily allow a use that is not permitted in the I-2 District. The only other option would be to rezone the property to the I-1 District and apply for a Conditional Use for a recreational use. The land use plans for the City do not recommend the future use of this portion of the corridor to be rezoned to anything less than what it is today.

The overall public health, safety, convenience, and general welfare of the proposed temporary use would be met.

## Motion: <br> THAT THE REQUEST BY GARY MOORE FOR A TEMPORARY USE PERMIT TO OPERATE A RECREATIONAL FACILITY IN THE I-2 DISTRICT AT 6580 HUNTLEY RD., AS PER CASE NO. TUP 01-2020, DRAWINGS NO. TUP 01-2020 DATED AUGUST 25, 2020, BE APPROVED, BASED ON THE FINDINGS OF FACT AND CONCLUSIONS IN THE STAFF MEMO AND/OR PRESENTED AT THE MEETING.

2. Variance - Side Yard Setback - Fence - $\mathbf{7 4}$ Orchard Dr. (Peter \& Joan Macrae) BZA 362020

## Findings of Fact \& Conclusions

## Background:

This property is in the R-10 (Low Density Residence) Zoning District at the northeast corner of Orchard Dr. and Hartford St. The surrounding properties are also single-family homes in the R-10 District. The lot is $50^{\prime}$ in width and $128^{\prime}$ in length for a total of 6400 square feet in area.

To have a larger area to grow plants without interference from deer, the homeowners are requesting approval to install a 5' high fence at the property line. The proposed fence would be black aluminum picket style and extend from the northwest corner of the house and head west along the north side of the drive to the Hartford St. right-of-way line. At Hartford St., the fence is proposed to run north along the property line to the neighbors' fence corner. The northern 6' of fencing would be on top of a $1^{\prime}$ high concrete retaining wall that is shown as curving toward the back property line. The height to the top of the posts would likely be above 6'. Landscape material is proposed in beds on both sides of the new fence.

## Worthington Codified Ordinances:

Section 1149.08 (a): At corner lots, no accessory uses, accessory structures, structures, material or equipment storage shall be located in any required front yard. Side yards fronting on the adjacent street can be reduced to two-thirds of the required front setback from the right of way of the adjacent street. The required setback for this property along Hartford St. is 20’.
1180.02 "R" DISTRICTS (a): In any "R" District, no fence or wall shall be erected in the area between the right of way line and the building setback line except for a wall necessary to
accommodate differences in grade. No fence or wall in an "R" district shall exceed a height of six feet.

## Request:

The applicant is requesting the fence to be located on the west property line. The requested variance is $20^{\prime}$. The fence post caps will be higher than $6^{\prime}$ on the portion mounted on the concrete wall.

## Conclusions:

The essential character of the neighborhood should not be substantially altered. The fence to the north is in the same location at the property line. The post caps being higher than 6 ' would not be substantial. The addition of landscape material would help mitigate any impact of the fence. Small corner lots have limited area for back yard living and planting, so this request could enhance the use of the property.

The delivery of government services would not be affected.

## Motion: <br> THAT THE REQUEST BY PETER \& JOAN MACRAE FOR VARIANCES FROM CODE REQUIREMENTS TO ALLOW FOR A FENCE TO BE LOCATED IN THE REQUIRED SIDE YARD AND TO HAVE POST CAPS HIGHER THAN 6' AT 74 ORCHARD DR. AS PER CASE BZA 36-2020, DRAWINGS NO. BZA 36-2020 DATED AUGUST 26, 2020, BE APPROVED, BASED ON THE FINDINGS OF FACT AND CONCLUSIONS IN THE STAFF MEMO AND/OR PRESENTED AT THE MEETING.

3. Variance - Front Yard Setback - Handrails - 98 E. New England Ave. (Mark \& Susan Taylor) BZA 37-2020

## Findings of Fact \& Conclusions

## Background \& Request:

The property is 62 -feet wide and 134 -feet deep. The house is a Colonial Revival influence that was built in 1941. The applicant is requesting the installation of handrails that will encroach into the front yard setback.

The house is located approximately 30 -feet from the public right-of-way. The existing stoop is approximately 25 -feet from the public right-of-way and with the addition of the handrails it would encroach an additional 2-feet and ultimately be located approximately 23-feet from the public right-of-way.

## History:

In 2002 the Architectural Review Board and the Board of Zoning Appeals approved the construction of a covered front porch that included a variance for setbacks, however that request
did not include the addition of handrails. The porch was never constructed. The proposed handrails will extend out an additional 2-feet into the setback. The Architectural Review Board reviewed and approved the proposed handrails at their meeting on September 24, 2020.

## Worthington Codified Ordinances:

Section 1149.01 states that the required front yard setback is to be 30 -feet from the lot line.

## Request

The applicant is requesting to install handrails that will encroach into the front setback. A variance of approximately 7 -feet is requested.

## Conclusions:

The essential character of the neighborhood should not be substantially altered as there are several other porches with handrails found throughout Old Worthington located in a similar area.

The existing front stoop does not have handrails, this would provide additional safety to those entering and exiting the house that may need additional assistance and provide overall safety in inclement weather.

The proposal was reviewed and approved by the Architectural Review Board on September 24, 2020.

The delivery of government services should not be affected with the installation of the handrails.

## Motion: <br> THAT THE REQUEST BY MARK \& SUSAN TAYLOR FOR A VARIANCE TO INSTALL HANDRAILS AT 98 E. NEW ENGLAND AVE. AS PER CASE NO. BZA 37-2020, DRAWINGS NO. BZA 37-2020, DATED AUGUST 27 , 2020, BE APPROVED BASED ON THE FINDINGS OF FACT AND CONCLUSIONS IN THE STAFF MEMO AND/OR PRESENTED AT THE MEETING.

## 4. Variance - Rear Yard Setback - Screen Room - 1177 Macgregor West Ave. (Kristen \& Benjamin Buss) BZA 38-2020

## Findings of Fact \& Conclusions

## Background \& Request:

This property is located in the R-10 (Low Density Residence) Zoning District in Perry Highlands. The surrounding properties are also single-family homes in the R-10 District. The lot is on the corner of Macgregor West Ave. and Perry Dr. and is approximately 101-feet in width and 93-feet in depth for a total lot size of $10,890 \mathrm{sq}$. ft. in size.

The applicant is proposing to construct a new screened porch on the rear of the home that will be approximately 20 'x20, this includes the roof overhang and gutters. The screened porch would be 10 -feet from the rear property line.

## Worthington Codified Ordinances:

Section 1149.01 states that the required rear yard setback is to be 30 -feet from the lot line.

## Request:

The applicant is requesting to construct a screened porch that would be 10 -feet from the rear yard setback, a variance of 20 -feet is requested.

## Conclusions:

The essential character of the neighborhood should not be substantially altered as there are several rear additions found throughout the neighborhood.

The screened porch will be located to the rear of the site and will not be completely visible from the Macgregor West Ave. public right-of-way, however the addition would be visible from the Perry Dr. right-of-way.

There is an existing paver patio in the area of the proposed screened porch.
The delivery of government services should not be affected with the installation of the screened porch.

## Motion: <br> THAT THE REQUEST BY KRISTEN \& BENJAMIN BUSS FOR A VARIANCE FROM CODE REQUIREMENTS TO ALLOW FOR A SCREEN ROOM TO BE CONSTRUCTED AT 1177 MACGREGOR WEST AVE., AS PER CASE NO. BZA 38-2020, DRAWINGS NO. BZA 38-2020 DATED A, SEPTEMBER 2, 2020, BE APPROVED, BASED ON THE FINDINGS OF FACT AND CONCLUSIONS IN THE STAFF MEMO AND/OR PRESENTED AT THE MEETING.

## 5. Variance - Front Yard Setback - Front Porch - $\mathbf{7 8 4}$ Oxford St. (James Ross/Riley) BZA 39-2020

## Findings of Fact \& Conclusions

## Background \& Request:

This structure was constructed in 1950 and is categorized as a Cap Cod in the Worthington Historic District. The lot is 60 -feet in width and 252 -feet deep for a total lot size of $15,120 \mathrm{sq}$. ft. in size. The applicant would like to construct a new 12 ' wide by 7 ’ wide covered front porch. The covered porch will have a gable roof and the roof would be supported by round, tapered columns. The existing house is located approximately 28 -feet from the public right-of-way. The covered porch
would be located approximately 21-feet from the public right-of-way. This request is to install a covered front porch that will encroach into the front yard setback.

## Worthington Codified Ordinances:

Section 1149.01 states that the required front yard setback is to be 30 -feet from the lot line.

## Request:

The applicant is requesting to construct a covered porch that would be approximately 21-feet from the public right-of-way, a variance of 9 -feet is requested.

## Conclusions:

The essential character of the neighborhood should not be substantially altered as there are several covered front porches found throughout Old Worthington that have varying setbacks from the public right-of-way.

The delivery of government services should not be affected with the installation of the screened porch.

The Architectural Review Board reviewed and approved the proposed front porch addition at their meeting on September 10, 2020.

## Motion:

THAT THE REQUEST BY JAMES ROSS ON BEHALF OF ANNE RILEY FOR A VARIANCE TO ADD A COVERED FRONT PORCH AT 784 OXFORD ST. AS PER CASE NO. BZA 39-2020, DRAWINGS NO. BZA 39-2020, DATED AUGUST 22, 2020 BE APPROVED BASED ON THE FINDINGS OF FACT AND CONCLUSIONS IN THE STAFF MEMO AND PRESENTED AT THE MEETING.
6. Variance - Setback from Alley - Fence - 5716 Foster Ave. (John S. Jones) BZA 40-2020

## Findings of Fact \& Conclusions

## Background:

This 7,450 square foot property is an existing lot of record in the R-10 (Low Density Residential) Zoning District in the Colonial Hills neighborhood. The property abuts an improved alleyway that runs parallel to Foster Ave. between Loveman Ave. and Park Blvd. The 16’ wide alleyway provides access to two homes on Foster Ave., one home on Loveman Ave. and one home on Park Blvd. It does not appear that the applicant utilizes the existing alleyway. The property owner has an existing driveway on Foster Ave.

There is an existing 4' high chain link that fenced in the applicant's rear yard that the property owner would now like to replace with a 4' high decorative black aluminum fence. The old fence and the proposed fence are located along the existing alleyway. City staff was unable to find a
permit or previous approval for the fence in this location. The Board approved a Variance in 1982 for the construction of a new garage that would be 3-feet from the side yard. The purpose of the new fence is to replace an existing chain link fence that has reached its end of life.

## Worthington Codified Ordinances:

Section 1149.01 states any dwelling or structure accessory to a dwelling must be at least 30 feet from the public right-of-way.

Section 1180.02(a) states "In any 'R' District, no fence or wall shall be erected in the area between the right-of-way line and the building setback line".

## Request:

The applicant is requesting to remove an existing 4' high chain link fence and install a new 4' high decorative black aluminum fence within the required front yard from a public (alley) right-of-way. A variance of 30 is required.

## Conclusions:

Although the fence is located in the setback from a public right-of-way, the alleyway is only used by four properties. The replacement fence should not impact the existing residents who use this alleyway as access. These factors can mitigate the substantial nature of this variance request.

The essential character of the neighborhood should not be substantially altered as other neighboring properties also have similar fences that abut the public right-of-way along this 16 ' wide alleyway and the fact that the previous fence was in the same location for decades. The applicant's proposed fence material is an improvement over chain link.

The Board recently reviewed and approved two other setback requests for fences along an alleyway at 5704 and 5709 Foster Ave. at their meeting on August 6, 2020.

The delivery of governmental services should not be impacted as a result of the request.

## Motion:

THAT THE REQUEST BY JOHN S. JONES FOR A VARIANCE FROM CODE REQUIREMENTS TO ALLOW FOR A FENCE TO BE LOCATED IN THE REQUIRED FRONT YARD AT 5716 FOSTER AVE., AS PER CASE NO. BZA 40-2020, DRAWINGS NO. BZA 40-2020 DATED SEPTEMBER, 2020, BE APPROVED, BASED ON THE FINDINGS OF FACT AND CONCLUSIONS IN THE STAFF MEMO AND/OR PRESENTED AT THE MEETING.

# 7. Variance - Side Yard Setback - Garage - 655 Hartford St. (James Ross/Mullen) BZA 412020 

## Findings of Fact \& Conclusions

## Background:

This property is located in the R-10 (Low Density Residence) Zoning District in Old Worthington. The surrounding properties are also single-family homes in the R-10 District. A farmhouse was originally constructed in 1860 on this relatively small ~75’ x ~127' (9504 square feet) corner lot. Several additions were constructed over the years to create a 2233 square foot house. The existing house is 30.5 ' from the E. New England Ave. property line. The New England Ave. right-of-way is 66 ' wide and the distance from the property line to the street is about 25 '.

The owners would like to add a 24 ' x 24 ’ attached garage to the south side of the house at the west end. The garage would encroach into the required 20 ' side yard to approximately $6.5^{\prime}$ from the south property line, which is also the right-of-way line for E. New England Ave. A 30' wide curb cut is proposed.

Approved by the Architectural Review Board, the garage is designed to fit in with the house, with matching roof lines and matching materials.

## Worthington Codified Ordinances:

Section 1149.08 (a): At corner lots, no accessory uses, accessory structures, structures, material or equipment storage shall be located in any required front yard. Side yards fronting on the adjacent street can be reduced to two-thirds of the required front setback from the right of way of the adjacent street. The required setback for this property along E. New England Ave. is 20’.

## Request:

The applicant is requesting to construct a new garage in the required side yard for a corner lot. The garage is proposed to be 6.5 ' from the side lot line; a variance of $11.5^{\prime}$ is requested.

## Conclusions:

The essential character of the neighborhood should not be substantially altered as the design of the garage would fit in with the house and the structure would still be over 30' from the street due to the wide tree lawn area. Also, the placement of the existing houses at the other three corners of this intersection are closer to the right-of-way lines than required.

Small corner lots have limited area for back yard living. If the garage were required to meet the setback requirements, the rear yard would be greatly reduced.

The proposal was reviewed and approved by the Architectural Review Board on September 24, 2020.

The delivery of government services should not be affected with construction of the garage.
8. Variances - Front \& Side Yard Setback - Addition - 566 Park Blvd. (Jonathan Leonard, Architect/Payne) BZA 42-2020

## Findings of Fact \& Conclusions

## Background \& Request:

This property is located in the R-10 (Low Density Residence) Zoning District in Colonial Hills. The surrounding properties are also single-family homes in the R-10 District. The lot is 49-feet in width and 135 -feet in length for a total lot size of 6,615 square feet in size.

The applicant is proposing an extensive renovation to the existing home. The applicant will be expanding the existing home on the first floor and second floor to the west and south to be 3 -feet from the southern property and adding a front open air front porch with a pergola and raised planters that will encroach into the front setback. The existing home is approximately 7-feet from the southern property line, approximately 5 -feet from the northern property line and approximately 26 -feet from the front property line. The site plan also shows the addition of a rear patio with a pergola that appears to encroach into the side yard setback on the north side of the home, however the renderings show the patio and pergola in line with the existing house. Clarification is needed on what is actually being constructed.

## Worthington Codified Ordinances:

Section 1149.01 states that a structure must be a minimum of 8 -feet from the side lot line Section 1149.01 states that there shall be a sum of side yards of 20 -feet.
Section 1149.01 states that a structure must be 30-feet from the public right-of-way.

## Request:

The applicant is requesting to expand the existing footprint of the home to the west and south and adding patios and pergolas that will all encroach into the side and front yard setbacks. The house is proposed to be 3 -feet from the side lot line; a variance of 5 -feet for the side lot line is requested. The sum of the side yards will be 8 -feet; a variance of 12 -feet is requested. The open front porch with pergola and retaining walls will be 18 -feet from the public right-of-way; a variance of 12 -feet is requested.

## Conclusions:

The essential character of the neighborhood should not be substantially altered as the majority of the homes found in Colonial Hills have a variety of side and front yard setbacks found throughout the neighborhood.

The existing home already encroaches in the front yard setback by approximately $4-5$-feet, an additional 5-7-feet should not alter the character of the neighborhood.

The rear patio and pergola addition appear to encroach into the side yard setback on the northern portion of the property. The site plan and renderings seem to conflict.

- Clarification is needed on what is being proposed.

The addition to the west and south will be 3-feet from the southern property line, however the eaves appear to extend out further. Section 1149.06(a) states that architectural projections may extend or project into required side yards not more than two inches for each one foot of width of such side yard.

- Clarification is needed that that proposed eaves would not extend over 6-inches.

The neighboring house to the south appears to encroach into the side yard setback and appears to be constructed at or near the property line. The proposed addition would be approximately 3-feet from the neighbor's house.

Fire-rated construction will be required by the for the addition to be 3-feet from a property line.
Exiting lots in Colonial Hills typically do not meet the size and frontage requirements for the R10 District. The lot is only 49 -feet wide where the R-10 District requires 80 -feet and the lot size is only $6,615 \mathrm{sq}$. ft . in size where the $\mathrm{R}-10$ District requires $10,400 \mathrm{sq}$. ft. These two site conditions attribute to the need for reduced setbacks in Colonial Hills.

The delivery of government services should not be affected with the installation of the porch.
If the Board feels comfortable with the applicant's responses to the items that need clarified, the following motion would be appropriate.

## Motion:

THAT THE REQUEST BY JONATHON LEONARD, ARCHITECT ON BEHALF OF SALLY PAYNE FOR A VARIANCE FROM CODE REQUIREMENTS TO ALLOW FOR ADDITIONS TO BE CONSTRUCTED IN THE SIDE AND FRONT YARDS AT 566 PARK BLVD., AS PER CASE NO. BZA 42-2020, DRAWINGS NO. BZA 42-2020 DATED SEPTEMBER 4, 2020, BE APPROVED, BASED ON THE FINDINGS OF FACT AND CONCLUSIONS IN THE STAFF MEMO AND/OR PRESENTED AT THE MEETING.

## 9. Variance - Rear Yard Setback - Addition - $\mathbf{6 6 4 2}$ Masefield St. (James Ross/Williams) BZA 43-2020

## Findings of Fact \& Conclusions

## Background \& Request:

This property is located in the R-10 (Low Density Residence) Zoning District in Worthingway. The surrounding properties are also single-family homes in the R-10 District. The lot is a corner lot on the corner of Masefield St. and Thackeray Ave. that is approximately 124-feet in width and 93 -feet in depth for a total lot size of 11,530 sq. ft. in size.

The applicant is proposing to construct a new covered screened porch, deck and family room addition on the rear of the home that will vary from 11 -feet to 22 -feet from the rear property line.

## BZA History:

- April 7, 2016 - Variance for rear yard setback to reduce the rear yard setback to 23-feet for an addition.
- November 5, 1987 - Variances for rear yard setback for a deck to be located 8-feet from the rear property line and install a lattice fence that is 8 -feet in height.


## Worthington Codified Ordinances:

Section 1149.01 states that the required rear yard setback is to be 30 -feet from the lot line.

## Request:

The applicant is requesting the following:

- Covered screened porch that would be 11-feet to 13 -feet from the rear yard setback.
- Deck would be 13 -feet to 18 -feet from the rear yard setback.
- Family room addition would be 18 -feet to 22 -feet from the rear yard setback.


## Conclusions:

The essential character of the neighborhood should not be substantially altered as there are several rear additions found throughout the neighborhood.

The property is on a corner with additional setback requirements not typically required for properties in the district and presents a practical difficulty

The covered screened porch is located in the same location as the original deck that was approved in 1987 and would be visible from Thackeray Ave, however it is located in the location of the existing deck and should not negatively impact the surrounding properties. The deck and family room addition will be back towards the northeast corner of the lot north of the covered porch. The deck would not be highly visible; however, the family room addition would have some visibly from Masefield St.

The delivery of government services should not be affected with the proposed additions.

Motion:
THAT THE REQUEST BY JAMES ROSS ON BEHALF OF MARK \& LEE WILLIAMS FOR A VARIANCE FROM CODE REQUIREMENTS TO ALLOW FOR A COVERED SCREENED PORCH, DECK AND FAMILY ROOM ADDITION TO BE CONSTRUCTED 10-FEET TO 22-FEET FROM THE REAR PROPERTY LINE AT 6642 MASEFIELD ST., AS PER CASE NO. BZA 43-2020, DRAWINGS NO. BZA 43-2020 DATED A, SEPTEMBER 10, 2020, BE APPROVED, BASED ON THE FINDINGS OF FACT AND CONCLUSIONS IN THE STAFF MEMO AND/OR PRESENTED AT THE MEETING.

## 10. Variances - Signage - $\mathbf{6 1 3 0}$ Linworth Rd. (Gondal Linworth LLC) BZA 44-2020

## Findings of Fact \& Conclusions

## Background

This building was constructed by Wendy's International in 1985 and operated as a fast food restaurant with a drive-thru until earlier this year. The applicant is planning to transform the property into a restaurant that combines Dunkin' (formerly Dunkin Donuts) and Baskin Robbins.

Proposed are the following changes to the signage that require variances:

1. Preview and menu boards would be located toward the west side of the drive thru and be smaller than the previous versions. The new signs would be electronic so variances would be required for changeable copy and illumination.
2. A new sign is proposed for the existing freestanding brick base that would be filled in where the previous sign set down in the brick. The base is 5 ' 4 " high on one side and $4^{\prime} 10$ $1 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ on the side, and about 10 ' wide. Proposed is a $9^{\prime}$ wide $\times 4^{\prime} 7^{\prime \prime}$ high cabinet ( $\sim 41$ square feet in area per side) identifying "DUNKIN'" in orange and pink; "baskin robbins" with a "BR" logo in blue and pink; and "DRIVE THRU" in pink and white. The background of the sign is proposed as white that would be opaque. A variance would be needed for the overall sign area of $\sim 174$ square feet (two-sided 10 ' wide $\times 9^{\prime} 8$ " high including the base).
3. Signs were originally proposed on three sides of the building identifying the businesses, but the ARB only approved signs for the north and west sides. The north side of the building would have internally illuminated channel letters saying "DUNKIN" in orange with a pink apostrophe. The letters are proposed as 24 " high with sign area of 24.8 square feet. Baskin Robbins is shown as an internally illuminated pink and blue logo that is 30 " high and 22.5 square feet in area. On the west side, two signs are proposed for Dunkin: internally illuminated orange "DUNKIN" letters would be 24 " high ( 24.8 sf in area) in the gable; and 15 " high ( 12.4 sf in area) non-illuminated characters and letters would represent the America Runs on Dunkin logo (colors are not clear). Variances are needed for number of wall signs and overall sign area for Dunkin'.
4. Directional signs are proposed at the 2 entrances. A previous variance was granted for the 4' height, but the size of the signs would need to meet the Code requirement of being 2 ' x 2 '.

## Worthington Sign Code - Chapter 1170

1170.02 DEFINITIONS AND PROVISIONS.
(e) "Changeable copy" signs are prohibited except as follows:
(1) "Bulletin Boards" for public and semi-public uses are permitted with changeable copy not exceeding fifteen square feet used to identify events. Bulletin Boards shall not be internally illuminated.
(2) Gasoline service stations and gasoline/convenience store stations whose principal business is the sale of motor fuel may display one sign with changeable copy to identify the grades or types of motor fuel sold and the prices of such fuel.
(f) "Directional sign" means a sign used to direct on-site traffic and identify services such as restrooms, hours of operation, etc., and of which no more than fifty-percent of the graphic area is non-directional information. The display area for such signs shall not exceed twenty-four inches in height or width, and the above grade height for freestanding directional signs shall not exceed thirty-six inches. The total area for all such signage shall be no more than 20 square feet per parcel. Directional signs are excluded in the computation of sign area.

### 1170.03 DESIGN REQUIREMENTS.

(b) Illumination. Internally illuminated signs shall be constructed so as to allow the illumination of only letters, numbers or other identifying symbols on the display surface. No light shall pass through the background. Internally illuminated signs shall not exceed the equivalent of an 800 milliampere fluorescent tube mounted not closer than twelve inches on center. External illumination shall be installed so that the light source is not visible from adjoining premises and does not illuminate such premises. No external light source shall be located or arranged so as to cause confusion or a hazard to traffic or conflict with traffic control signals. Flashing signs shall not be permitted.

### 1170.04 MEASUREMENT.

(a) Sign area is calculated by totaling all display areas of a sign, including sign faces, molding and framing, but excluding supporting members less than or equal to twenty-four inches in width.
(b) Planters or other decorative supporting structures shall be excluded in the computation of sign area unless the structure exceeds two feet in height or eight feet in length, in which case the entire structure shall be included in the computation of sign area.

### 1170.05 COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL DISTRICT REQUIREMENTS.

(a) Sign area. Allowable permanent sign area for any single business shall be limited according to the widths of the building or part of the building occupied by such enterprise. For the purposes of this section, width shall be measured along the building face nearest parallel to the street line. In the case of a corner lot, either frontage may be used in determining maximum area for signage. The area of all permanent signs for any single business shall be equivalent to one and one-half square feet of sign area for each lineal foot of width of the building or part of the building, but shall not exceed a maximum area of 100 square feet per business.
(b) Wall-mounted signage. Each business shall be permitted one wall-mounted sign.
(c) Freestanding Signage. There shall be no more than one freestanding sign per parcel. No part of any freestanding sign shall exceed an above-grade height of fifteen feet. Freestanding signs shall not be larger than sixty percent (60\%) of the total sign area allowed for under Section 1170.05 (a). Freestanding signs shall be located not closer than ten feet to a public right of way or thirty-five feet to an adjoining property line.

## Variances Requested:

1. Menu and Preview Boards - Changeable copy with background illuminated.
2. Dunkin':

- Three wall signs - only 2 would be allowed per the Code.
- Sign Area - Wall sign area total 62 square feet. One-half of the freestanding sign area would be $\sim 87$ square feet. One-half of sign face only would be $\sim 41$ square feet. Total sign area for Dunkin' is proposed to be 149 square feet which includes the brick sign base (without base they would have 103 square feet of signage.) Only 100 square feet of signage is allowed per business and no more than 60 square can be in the freestanding sign.

3. Baskin Robbins:

- Wall sign area is 22.5 square feet. One-half of the freestanding sign area would be ~87 square feet. One-half of sign face would be $\sim 41$ square feet.


## Conclusions:

The menu and preview boards would be appropriate for this application.
Although the west elevation was approved by the ARB, it seems the signs as shown on the east elevation are what was in mind, having one sign for each business rather than 2 for Dunkin'. With an oversized freestanding sign at the corner, signs may not be necessary on the west side of the building. It is difficult to say the businesses would not yield a reasonable return without the additional wall signs.

Reduction of the freestanding sign area may be possible but the ARB felt the proposed sign cabinet would be appropriately sized with the existing base. The actual sign faces would be $\sim 41$ square feet, which would be larger than the UDF and Linworth Crossing signs, but smaller than the BP sign. The character of the neighborhood, however, should not be substantially altered and adjoining properties should not suffer a substantial detriment as a result of the variance. Reuse of the existing base is positive as it matches the building.

Proposed directional sign faces should be reduced to no wider than 2' to meet the Code.
The proposal was reviewed and approved by the Architectural Review Board on September 10, 2020.

The delivery of government services should not be affected with the proposed signage.

Motion:
THAT THE REQUEST BY GONDAL LINWORTH LLC FOR VARIANCES TO INSTALL SIGNAGE AT 6130 LINWORTH RD. AS PER CASE NO. BZA 44-2020, DRAWINGS NO. BZA 44-2020, DATED SEPTEMBER 4, 2020, BE APPROVED BASED ON THE FINDINGS OF FACT AND CONCLUSIONS IN THE STAFF MEMO AND PRESENTED AT THE MEETING.

### 1129.05 POWERS AND DUTIES.

## Review Criteria for Granting Area Variances by the Board of Zoning Appeals:

(c) Area Variances. The Board shall have the power to hear and decide appeals and authorize variances from the provisions or requirements of this Zoning Ordinance. In authorizing a variance, the Board may attach conditions and require such guarantee or bond as it may deem necessary to assure compliance with the objective of this Zoning Ordinance. The Board may grant a variance in the application of the provisions of the Zoning Ordinance when it is determined that practical difficulty exists based on the following factors:
(1) Whether the property in question will yield a reasonable return or whether there can be any beneficial use of the property without the variance;
(2) Whether the variance is substantial;
(3) Whether the essential character of the neighborhood would be substantially altered or whether adjoining properties would suffer a substantial detriment as a result of the variance;
(4) Whether the variance would adversely affect the delivery of governmental services (e.g. water, sewer, garbage).
(5) Whether the property owner purchased the property with knowledge of the zoning restriction;
(6) Whether the property owner's predicament feasibly can be obviated through some method other than a variance; and,
(7) Whether the spirit and intent behind the zoning requirement would be observed and substantial justice done by granting the variance.

## MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING BOARD OF ZONING APPEALS <br> VIRTUAL MEETING

September 3, 2020

## A. Call to Order - 7:00 p.m.

1. Roll Call - the following members were present: Cynthia Crane, Chair; D.J. Falcoski -Vice-Chair; Brian Seitz, Garrett Guillozet and Mikel Coulter. Also present were Lee Brown, Director of Planning \& Building; and Lynda Bitar, Planning Coordinator.
2. Approval of Minutes of the August 6, 2020 meeting

Mr. Coulter moved to approve the minutes, and Mr. Seitz seconded the motion. Mr. Falcoski, aye; Mr. Seitz, aye; Mr. Coulter, aye; Ms. Crane, aye; and Mr. Guillozet, aye. The minutes were approved.

## B. Items of Public Hearing - Unfinished

1. Variance - Setback \& Screening - $\mathbf{6 6 2 5}$ Guyer St. (Schorr Architects/Worthingway Middle School) BZA 21-2020 To Remain Tabled without Discussion
2. Variance - Front Yard Setback - Single Family Dwelling - $\mathbf{2 8 5}$ McCoy Ave. (JBAD Architects/Carpenter \& Blanchard) BZA 31-2020

No additional information was provided at the time of this report. The applicant stated that they intend to submit revised drawings prior to the time of the meeting.

## To Remain Tabled without Discussion

## C. Items of Public Hearing - New Business

1. Variance - Front Yard Setback - Porch - $\mathbf{3 2 5}$ E. New England Ave. (Christa Teston \& Chris Elliott) BZA 32-2020

Mr. Brown reviewed the following from the staff memo:

## Background:

This property is located in the R-10 (Low Density Residence) Zoning District. The surrounding properties are also single-family homes in the R-10 District. The lot is 66 -feet in width and 200 -feet in length for a total of 13,200 square feet in the Morris Addition. The lot is heavily wooded with a prominent slope south towards Rush Creek.

The applicant is proposing a new $6^{\prime} \times 8^{\prime}$ foot covered porch that will encroach into the required front yard.

There is an existing 3 'x $5^{\prime}$ existing covered front porch and sidewalk in this area. The applicant is requesting this variance to add a larger covered entrance.

## Worthington Codified Ordinances:

Section 1149.01 states any dwelling or structure accessory to a dwelling must be at least 30 -feet from the public right-of-way.

## Request:

The applicant is requesting to construct a new covered porch in the required front yard. The porch is proposed to be 10.4-feet from E. New England Ave.; a variance of 19.6-feet is required.

## Conclusions:

The essential character of the neighborhood should not be substantially altered as other nearby properties have similar front porches and setbacks. In addition, the porch will match the existing character of the home.

The existing covered porch and the majority of the house are currently located in the front setback. No prior variance was found. The existing house is already located 16.6 -feet into the front setback.

The new addition will be 34.6 -feet from the edge of pavement for E. New England Ave.
The delivery of government services should not be affected with the installation of the porch.

## Discussion:

Mr. Brown swore in the applicants, Ms. Christa Teston \& Mr. Chris Elliott, 325 E. New England Ave., Worthington, Ohio. Ms. Crane asked if the porch addition would allow for seating on the porch and Ms. Teston said yes. Board members did not have questions or concerns. Ms. Crane asked if there were any emails or callers and Mrs. Bitar said no.

## Motion:

Mr. Guillozet moved:

THAT THE REQUEST BY CHRISTA TESTON \& CHRIS ELLIOTT FOR A VARIANCE FROM CODE REQUIREMENTS TO ALLOW FOR A PORCH TO BE CONSTRUCTED IN THE REQUIRED FRONT YARD AT 325 E. NEW ENGLAND
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AVE., AS PER CASE NO. BZA 32-2020, DRAWINGS NO. BZA 32-2020 DATED JULY 16, 2020, BE APPROVED, BASED ON THE FINDINGS OF FACT AND CONCLUSIONS IN THE STAFF MEMO AND/OR PRESENTED AT THE MEETING.

Mr. Seitz seconded the motion. Mrs. Bitar called the roll. Mr. Guillozet, aye; Mr. Falcoski, aye; Mr. Seitz, aye; Mr. Coulter, aye; and Ms. Crane, aye. The motion was approved.
2. Variances - Front \& Side Yard Setbacks - Ramp - $\mathbf{8 4}$ W. Stanton Ave. (Shaw \& Ott Medical/Cummiskey) BZA 33-2020

Mr. Brown reviewed the following from the staff memo:

## Background:

This property is located in the R-10 (Low Density Residence) Zoning District in the Davis Estates neighborhood. The surrounding properties are also single-family homes in the R10 District. The lot is 55 -feet in width and 122 -feet in length for a total lot size of 6,710 sq. ft. in size.

The applicant is proposing to install a handicap ramp for the property owner that will need to encroach into the required front yard and side yard.

There is an existing approximately 3 'x $5^{\prime}$ existing front porch with stairs in this area. The applicant is requesting this variance so that the property owner can safely enter and exit her home.

## Worthington Codified Ordinances:

Section 1149.01 states any dwelling or structure accessory to a dwelling must be at least 30 -feet from the public right-of-way.
Section 1149.01 states any dwelling or structure accessory to a dwelling must be at least 8 -feet from the side yard.

## Request:

The applicant is requesting to construct a handicap ramp in the required front yard. The ramp is proposed to be 13.4 -feet from W. Stanton Ave.; a variance of 16.6 -feet is required. The ramp is also proposed to be 6.8 -feet from the western side property line; a variance of 1.2 -feet is required.

## Conclusions:

The essential character of the neighborhood should not be substantially altered long term, however temporarily it might be altered.

- The handicap ramp would be able to be removed in the future when it is not needed.
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The existing front porch and stairs already encroach into the existing front setback.
The handicap ramp will be approximately 22.4 -feet from the edge of pavement for W . Stanton Ave.

The ramp would provide safe access to the home and permit the property owner to remain in her home.

The ramp would be designed to meet the Accessibility Code referenced in the Residential Code of Ohio.

The delivery of government services should not be affected with the installation of the porch.
Discussion:
Mr. Brown swore in the applicant, Mr. Dan Van Harligan, representing 84 W. Stanton Ave., Worthington, Ohio. Mr. Van Harligan said he was trying to make sure the project was done according to Code and successful. Board members did not have any questions or concerns. Ms. Crane asked Mrs. Bitar if there were any emails or phone calls and Mrs. Bitar said no.

## Motion:

Mr. Seitz moved:
THAT THE REQUEST BY SHAW \& OTT MEDICAL ON BEHALF OF LUCILLE CUMMISKEY FOR A VARIANCE FROM CODE REQUIREMENTS TO ALLOW FOR A HANDICAP RAMP TO BE IN THE REQUIRED FRONT YARD AND SIDE YARD AT 84 W . STANTON AVE., AS PER CASE NO. BZA 33-2020, DRAWINGS NO. BZA 33-2020 DATED AUGUST 5, 2020, BE APPROVED, BASED ON THE FINDINGS OF FACT AND CONCLUSIONS IN THE STAFF MEMO AND/OR PRESENTED AT THE MEETING.

Mr. Coulter seconded the motion. Mrs. Bitar called the roll. Mr. Guillozet, aye; Mr. Falcoski, aye; Mr. Seitz, aye; Mr. Coulter, aye; and Ms. Crane, aye. The motion was approved.
3. Variances - Rear \& Side Yard Setbacks - New Garage - 117 W. New England Ave. (Jeffrey \& AnnMarie McCallister) BZA 34-2020

Mr. Brown reviewed the following from the staff memo:

## Background \& Request:
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This property is located in the R-10 (Low Density Residence) Zoning District in Old Worthington. The surrounding properties are also single-family homes in the R-10 District. The lot is 60 -feet in width and 134 -feet in length for a total lot size of 8,040 square feet in size.

The applicant is proposing to replace an existing garage and shed with a new larger garage that will need to encroach into the required side yard and rear yard for accessory buildings.

There is an existing approximately $20^{\prime} \times 20^{\prime}$ existing garage and a $14^{\prime} \times 20^{\prime}$ shed that will be replaced with a new $20^{\prime}$ x $26^{\prime}$ garage with storage above that will be 3 -feet from the side and rear property lines. The applicant is requesting this variance so that the property owner can construct a new garage.

## Worthington Codified Ordinances:

Section 1149.08(b) states any accessory building must be at least 10 -feet from the rear lot line and 8 -feet from the side lot line.

## Request:

The applicant is requesting to construct a new garage in the required rear yard and side yard. The garage is proposed to be 3-feet from the side and rear lot line; a variance of 5feet for the side lot line and 7 -feet for the rear lot line is requested.

## Conclusions:

The essential character of the neighborhood should not be substantially altered long term as there are several other accessory buildings in Old Worthington located 3-feet from the side and rear lot lines.

The existing detached garage and shed are located 4-feet from the side lot line and the shed is located 3-feet from the rear lot line. The Board granted a Variance on June 5, 2003 for the shed to be located 3 -feet from the rear lot line and 4 -feet from the side lot line. The existing garage appears to be constructed when the home was constructed in the early 1900 's.

The garage will be in the same general location as the existing garage and shed. With the removal of the shed, the new garage will be constructed back towards the rear of the lot in line with the neighbor's garage to the east.

Exiting lots in Old Worthington typically do not meet the size and frontage requirements for the R-10 District. The lot is only 60 -feet wide where the R-10 District requires 80 feet and the lot size is only $8,040 \mathrm{sq}$. ft. in size where the R-10 District requires 10,400
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sq. ft. These two site conditions attribute to the need or reduced setbacks in Old Worthington.

The proposal was reviewed and approved by the Architectural Review Board on July 9, 2020.

The delivery of government services should not be affected with the installation of the porch.

## Discussion:

Mr. Brown swore in the applicants, Mr. Jeffrey McCallister, and Mrs. Annmarie McCallister, 117 W. New England Ave., Worthington, Ohio. Board members had no questions or concerns. Ms. Crane asked if there were any emails or callers and Mrs. Bitar said no.

## Motion:

Mr. Seitz moved:
THAT THE REQUEST BY JEFFREY \& ANNMARIE McCALLISTER FOR A VARIANCE FROM CODE REQUIREMENTS TO ALLOW FOR A GARAGE TO BE CONSTRUCTED IN THE SIDE YARD AND REAR YARD AT 117 W . NEW ENGLAND AVE., AS PER CASE NO. BZA 34-2020, DRAWINGS NO. BZA 34-2020 DATED AUGUST 7, 2020, BE APPROVED, BASED ON THE FINDINGS OF FACT AND CONCLUSIONS IN THE STAFF MEMO AND/OR PRESENTED AT THE MEETING.

Mr. Coulter seconded the motion. Mrs. Bitar called the roll. Mr. Guillozet, aye; Mr. Falcoski, aye; Mr. Seitz, aye; Mr. Coulter, aye; and Ms. Crane, aye. The motion was approved.
4. Variance - Accessory Building Total Area - New Garage - $\mathbf{6 3 8}$ Seabury Dr. (James W. Bihari) BZA 35-2020

## Background \& Request:

This property is located in the R-10 (Low Density Residence) Zoning District in Kilbourne Village. The surrounding properties are also single-family homes in the R-10 District. The lot is approximately 60 -feet in width and 158 -feet in length for a total lot size of 12,194 square feet in size.

The applicant is proposing to construct a new detached accessory building that will exceed the permitted 850 sq . ft. total for accessory buildings.
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There is an existing attached garage that is approximately 447 sq . ft . in size. The applicant would like to construct a new detached garage that would be $22^{\prime} \times 22^{\prime}$, which would be $484 \mathrm{sq} . \mathrm{ft}$. in size bringing the total square footage of accessory building area to $931 \mathrm{sq} . \mathrm{ft}$. The applicant is requesting a variance so that the property owner can construct a new garage.

## Worthington Codified Ordinances:

Section 1149.08(b) states that the total accessory building area cannot exceed $850 \mathrm{sq} . \mathrm{ft}$. in size.

## Request:

The applicant is requesting to construct a new garage that would exceed the permitted 850 sq. ft . of accessory building area. The total accessory building area would be $931 \mathrm{sq} . \mathrm{ft} . ;$ a variance of $81 \mathrm{sq} . \mathrm{ft}$. is requested.

## Conclusions:

The essential character of the neighborhood should not be substantially altered.
The garage will be located to the rear of the site and will not be completely visible from the public right-of-way.

The garage will also be able to meet the standards outlined in Section 1149.08(b) for setbacks from the side and rear lot lines.

The delivery of government services should not be affected with the installation of the porch.

## Discussion:

Mr. Brown swore in the applicant, Mr. James Bihari, 638 Seabury Dr., Worthington, Ohio. Mr. Bihari said he would like to build his garage twenty-two feet instead of twenty. He said he needed the extra space to be able to get into his car because the space is tight right now. Mr. Coulter asked how far away the tree was from the property line. Mr. Bihari said the tree was 8.5 feet from the property line. Mrs. Bitar said the neighbor to the south had some concerns and sent in an email to be read.
"Hello,
We are writing to express concerns regarding the request for a variance at 638 Seabury Dr. for a new garage structure. Our primary concern is that the space between the owner's tree at the end of the driveway and our property line is not wide enough for construction equipment and/or cars to pass through without creating lasting damage to our property. The owner intends to drive cars to and from the new garage through that space. We are also
concerned that the space surrounding the new structure will not be maintained in a manner that is consistent with the neighborhood standards."

Thanks for your time, Bobby and Derrin Ritchie 630 Seabury Dr. Worthington, Ohio

Mr. Bihari said he knew his neighbor was concerned about her yard getting torn up so that was why he hired a surveyor to make sure there would be enough room to avoid harming the neighbor's yard, whose property line is 8.4 " from the tree. Mr. Bihari said he had enough room to drive through the other side of the tree, which is closest to his house. Ms. Crane asked if there were any other emails or calls and Mrs. Bitar said no. Mr. Guillozet asked Mr. Bihari if either of his neighbors have a large garage or shed behind their homes and Mr. Bihari said one of his neighbors had a shed.

Mr. Seitz asked Mr. Brown for clarification related to size and access to the garage. Mr. Brown replied that it would be similar to just a large shed and that we don't require access to a shed.

## Motion:

Mr. Seitz moved:
THAT THE REQUEST BY JAMES W. BIHARI FOR A VARIANCE FROM CODE REQUIREMENTS TO ALLOW FOR A GARAGE TO BE CONSTRUCTED THAT WILL BRING THE TOTAL ACCESSORY BUILDING SQUARE FOOTAGE OVER 850 SQ. FT. AT 638 SEABURY DR., AS PER CASE NO. BZA 35-2020, DRAWINGS NO. BZA 35-2020 DATED AUGUST 7, 2020, BE APPROVED, BASED ON THE FINDINGS OF FACT AND CONCLUSIONS IN THE STAFF MEMO AND/OR PRESENTED AT THE MEETING.

Mr. Coulter seconded the motion. Mrs. Bitar called the roll. Mr. Guillozet, aye; Mr. Falcoski, aye; Mr. Seitz, aye; Mr. Coulter, aye; and Ms. Crane, aye. The motion was approved.

## C. Other

There was no other business to discuss.

## D. Adjournment

Mr. Guillozet moved to adjourn the meeting, and Mr. Seitz seconded the motion. All Board members voted, "Aye," and the meeting adjourned at 8:25 p.m.
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City of Worthington BOARD OF ZONING APPEALS APPLICATION
Meetings - First Thursday of Every Month

Case \# TUP 01-2020
Date Received 6-4-2020
Fee $\$ 50.00 \mathrm{pd}$
Meeting Date 7-2-2020
Filing Deadline $\qquad$

1. Property Location 6580 Hथル TL\& $R D$
2. Present/Proposed Use 工-Z GUAREATOSTaOC
3. Zoning District $\mathbb{Z}-2$
4. Applicant GMAY NCON CEZ

Address $\qquad$
Phone Number (s) 614 496-1465
Email Gmoone e Super Gimmes, oed
5. Property Owner $\qquad$
Address 6550 HuNtley Rn DBA-Super GAmES
Phone Numbers) 614-496-1465
Email $\qquad$ Gone Super Games. Dea
6. Action Requested (ie. type of variance) TEneponARy Peknerr To been USE BF DICRCEBAZC DRAINING CENTER 7. Project Details: A nW Singe Score picnic Anew
a) Description $\qquad$
b) Expected Completion Date $\qquad$
c) Approximate Cost USE UF EXSTINC EQUIPMENT

## PLEASE READ THE FOLLOWING STATEMENTAND SIGN YOUR NAME:

The information contained in this application and in all attachments is true and correct to the best of my knowledge. I further acknowledge that I have familiarized myself with all applicable sections of the Worthington Codified Ordinances and will comply with all applicable regulations.


Applicant(Signature)

$6-1-2020$
Date
$6-1-202 c$
Date

## ABUTTING PROPERTY OWNERS

## FOR

6580 Huntley Rd.

Northpointe Distribution Center LLC
Kimrae LLC
Diamond Innovations, Inc.
Mettler-Toledo, Inc.

1111 Superior Ave. \#1100
3777Nicoya Ct. 6325 Huntley Rd.
6660 Huntley Rd.

Cleveland, OH 44114
Lewis Center, OH 43035
Columbus, OH 43229
Columbus, OH 43229


City of Worthington, Ohio
7-25-2020
Planning Coordinator
Linda Bitar
RE: Rezoning or conditional use permit
To whom it may concern:
Direct Instructional Support Systems, Inc 6580 Huntley Road, Worthington, Ohio (aba: SuperGames) requests a change of zoning from I-2 to I-1 or a conditional use permit to allow tothe opportunity to develop a additional revenue stream (Pickleball Training Center), to deal with the impact of the COVID 19 pandemic upon the current SuperGames business model of large events.

The Pickleball Training Facility would serve an additional recreational opportunity for the citizens of Worthington. The two courts would require less than $8 \%$ of the total square footage of the building. Participation would be limited to ten participants since there are only two courts available. The expansion of the north parking lot in the fall of 2019 provides an additional 48 parking spaces.

Best Regards,

Gary Moore, Ph.D. CEO
Gmoore@supergames.org
Direct Instructional Support Systems Doa SuperGames

## 6580 Huntley Rd.



100-006136 04/24/2017


## Supporting Statement: Rezoning 6580 Huntley Rd. from I-2 to l-1 (REVISED 8/25/2020)

In 2002 SuperGames moved into 535 Lakeview Plaza, and through a conditional use permit operated an indoor play center, warehousing and offices for SuperGames. Over that period SuperGames became one of the fastest growing large event companies in Ohio. Employing over a hundred local college students, teachers during the summer months and operated summer camps for children bringing in over a million dollars in payroll to the City of Worthington,. In 2019, as demand for large events grew even larger with the addition of several NFL teams as new clients, the non profit changed their business model to hosting only portable events, because the warehousing of all the new equipment left little room for the indoor play center and the 25,000 sq ft was tuned into warehousing. Soon we were outgrowing the Lakeview Property and needed to look for another larger location. Trying to find the perfect location we looked all over central Ohio to find freeway access and an affordable building. We wanted to stay in Worthington, but the opportunities for our building needs were not there.

Finally we looked at the Tropical Nut and Fruit company building on Huntley Road. The building needed major renovations, leaky roofs, removing heavy manufacturing equipment, interior and exterior cleaning and painting, HVAC upgrades, office remodeling the zoning was not ideal since we could not host any small events there because of the I-2 Zoning classification our non profit board of trustees decided to take a chance on the growth of large events and purchase the building.

With the help of our local FC Bank we were able to purchase the building. A renovation budget of $\$ 350,000$ and a $\$ 12,500$ FACAD grant from the city of Worthington we were able to ad new signage, additional parking, employee fitness area, interior office renovations, overhead door installations to name a few. We transformed a building that had sat almost empty for the past 10 years to one of the most attractive on the Huntley Road Corridor.

We sub leased 535 Lakeview Plaza facility to the Greater Ohio Volleyball Club to take over the final year of our lease. We did not to leave a vacant building with not tax revenue for the City.

CITY OF WORTHINGTON
DRAWINGS NO. TUP 01-2020

## THEN COVID 19.

Since the stay at home order from the State of Ohio basically shut down all revenue opportunities for a large event company like SuperGames, it has been a challenge trying to stay afloat with the current business model. We are making PPE for the medical industry, donating wrote give face shields for area EMT units, supporting the Worthington Food Bank by transporting over 25,000 pounds of food from the Mid Ohio Food Bank, manufacturing counter shield and hand sanitizer stations for area schools as they prepare for a new way of educating children in the fall. In fact we are building over 500 counter shields for the Worthington, Arlington, Grandview, Delaware and Olentangy Schools.

Although this helps pay our mortgage, these free services or low margin sales do not help us stay in business with the current model.

We are able to hold on since we received a PPP grant from the SBA to maintain our 20 FT employees through June 30th at a $75 \%$ salary level. It is imperative that we find additional sources of revenue going forward. we forecast that all these employees will return to unemployment on July 1 , 2020 when the PPP funds run out and large events are not allowed to take place.

We are concerned that if we don t look to the future, the 6580 Huntley building will revert to an empty shell again bringing in no tax revenue for the City of Worthington from the 20 Full Time and 100 seasonal employees, most of which are Worthington young people.

## THE FUTURE

As no one knows for sure where this all will end up we must plan ahead to maximize the revenue sources for every square inch of the 6580 Huntley Rd. property.

We estimate that our residents are not in a big hurry to go back to a crowded recreation facilities and the emphasis will be on small satellite recreational opportunities indoors and outdoors.

Pickleball is the fastest growing sport in America and lends itself to well to the demands this virus has placed upon us.

1. A sport that can be played by all ages. Seniors are the age group that has driven this surge, but its is making its way to the youth and college students.
2. It is played on a small footprint, instead of 4 people on a tennis court, we can get two courts on one tennis court, providing 100\% more participation.
3. In many communities, the sport has dominated the recreation environment. In Naples, Fla, The East Naples Community Park, has over 50 pickle ball courts and is a major contributor to the Naples Economy.

As we built out the warehousing space at our 6580 facility we were left 5000 sq ft open for future expansion of the warehouse. Our employees constructed two temporary pickle ball courts for some exercise.

As we were brainstorming ways to produce some additional revenue, one idea is to convert the NW corner of the building ( 5000 sq ft ) to a revenue producing venue to operate a pickleball trying center. This portion of the building is isolated from the rest of the warehouse facility and will. make for easy access for guests to participate. Since there are only space for two courts the occupancy will be 10 guests or less with no food or beverages will be served. We anticipate this being used by area professional for individual lessons and a practice venue for Pickleball Pros on the pro pickle ball circuit.

This would be the only indoor dedicated pickle ball courts in Ohio since most players use area tennis courts. To follow CDC and COVID Guidelines we limit the total number in the participants on the courts to 12. (8 participating and 4 participants being used as subs if we have no shows. If we have participants waiting we have a over 700 sq ft at the end of the south end of the courts for those 4 people to socially distance. No spectators would be permitted. Since it is doubtful that the Worthington Recreation Department will not be offering pickle ball in their gymnasiums this fall, this would be the only place to play PB in Worthington in the foreseeable future.

Since we are in the l-2 Zoning district we were informed by the City that this is not a permitted use, even if it only accounts for less than $8 \%$ of the total sq footage of the building.

## We see two possible solutions:

1. Apply for rezoning from $\mathrm{I}-2$ to $\mathrm{I}-1$ with a conditional user permit, since there is precedent that a I-1 Zoning parcel within the I-2 district already exists. (The Orkin Property)
2. We receive a temporary permit from the City to operate this activity until such time that the COVID 19 Pandemic has passed and we can return to large events ( 18 month permit). I know the City has allowed uptown restaurants to place signage to make citizens aware of carryout opportunities for area restaurants. I m not sure if this would fall under the same considerations or require an Emergency Order from the City Manager.

We look forward to continuing this discussion. Best regards,

Gary Moore, CEO
SuperGames
gmoore@supergames.org
cell: 614 496-1465

## QUESTIONS PERTAINING TO THE REZONING APPLICATION FOR 6580 HUNTLEY RD.

- Ohio Building Code - Comments from Don Phillips related to the Temporary Use Permit for the "Pickleball Courts". The owner can amend the current permif that is still open to include this new A-3 in the building. The owner's architect can analysis ways to update the construction documents showing compliance with the 2017 Ohio Building Code. It could also mean a fire safety and evacuation plan in compliance with the 2017 Ohio Fire Code.
- 2. Impacts related to the Ohio Building Code as it pertains to including your outdoor recreation area.
- 3. Restrooms - floorplan needed to show the location of the restrooms, pickleball area and outdoor area. How will people access the restrooms. Will your restrooms meet the requirements found in the Ohio Building Code.
- RERSPONSE : Restrooms meet requirements, only 12 participants in the building at one time. Restrooms located adjacent to the pickleball courts.
- SEE A1-1
. 4
. Parking - parking standards and site plan showing where parking will be allocated and will it meet Code requirements. This could be an issue as it pertains to meeting requirements for the main business and the Temporary businesses.RESPONSE: Twelve parking spaces anticipated for the pickleball courts. Due to the downturn in business of SuperGames, we are doing only $35 \%$ of business compared to 2019 and with only $50 \%$ of the original employees. SEE C-2
- 5_Outdoor occupancy - size of outdoor area? Will it be fenced? If so, how will it be fenced.RESPONSE: This program tabled until business improves, we will re submit if the program becomes necessary.
-6. Food Trucks - is this occurring every single day or just a few days a week. We will need to know this as it pertains to your Temporary Use Permit.RESPONSE: No Food trucks
-7. Hours of Operation for the pickleball court and outdoor area?RESPONSE: 7am-8pm weekdays, 7am-8pm weekends

8. Floorplan needed showing how people will access the building and the outdoor recreation area. Response: See A1-1

- S. Signage - no signage has been proposed, so you would not be permitted to install temporary signage. Response: No signage needed
-10.Alcohol - you reference alcohol in your application. You will need to meet at State standards and requirements for alcohol. RESPONSE: No Alcohol served.
- . Lighting - are you proposing outdoor lighting? If so, we ill need to know what this is going to be. We see string lights shown in one of your pictures. RESPONSE; No outdoor programming at this time, therefore no lighting required
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City of Worthington BOARD OF ZONING APPEALS APPLICATION
Meetings－First Thursday of Every Month

Case \＃BLA 36－1020
Date Received 09：26／12020
Fee $\square$ \＄ 25.00 Pl
Meeting Date $10 / 01 / 2020$
Filing Deadline


1．Property Location $\qquad$ 14 ORCHARD DRIVE，WOTHURYTON，OH
2．Present／Proposed Use pegidence／besmane

3．Zoning District $\qquad$ $R-10$

4．Applicant $\qquad$ peter：jon n madras
Address 74 ORCAKRD OATV兵，Who Tiffing ion， $8+1$ A3685 Phone Numbers）G（4－205－6005

Email PMACRAE C MACTAEAROH HECTURE．CM
5．Property owner RETER i JOAN MNCRAE
Address 74 ORCHARD DRIVE，WORTHINGTON，OH H3O85
Phone Numbers）GH－205－6805
Email PMACRAEQ MACRA ARC＋tFsoturt ReM

a）Description new garden enclosure－fence
b）Expected Completion Date F大Lレ DO20
c）Approximate Cost $2,200.00$
PLEASE READ THE FOLLOWING STATEMENTAND SIGN YOUR NAME：
The information contained in this application and in all attachments is true and correct to the best of my knowledge．I further acknowledge that I have familiarized myself with all applicable sections of the Worthington Codified Ordinances and will comply with all applicable regulations．


## ABUTTING PROPERTY OWNERS <br> FOR <br> 74 Orchard Dr.

| Name | Na Address | Citystatezip |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Sheila Sahl | 84 Orchard Dr. | Worthington, OH 43085 |
| James \& Kathryn McKeown Jr. | 73 Howard Ave. | Worthington, OH 43085 |
| Resident | 66 Orchard Dr. | Worthington, OH 43085 |
| Gregory Collins | 160 W. Wilson Bridge Rd. | Worthington, OH 43085 |
| Buford \& Cheryl Blake | 79 Howard Ave. | Worthington, OH 43085 |
| Trevor Brown \& Elizabeth Haydel | 73 Orchard Dr. | Worthington, OH 43085 |
| Resident | 57 Howard Ave. | Worthington, OH 43085 |
| Joseph Hall \& James Davis | 415 N. Front St., Apt 403 | Columbus, OH 43215 |
| James Morrison \& Marjorie Blain | 57 Orchard Dr. | Worthington, OH 43085 |

## M A An man <br> 

Date: August 26, 2020

To: City of Worthington
Board of Zoning Appeals
374 Highland Avernue
Worthington, OH 43085
Attn: Planning Department
Re: $\quad$ Macrae Residence - Garden Enclosure Fence
74 Orchard Drive
Worthington, OH 43085
Appeal: Relief from the current "front yard" limitations on the Hartford Street side of the corner property to allow application of "side yard" compliance requirements.

To Whom It May Concern:
The purpose of the project is to create a deterrent to the large and growing deer population who have increasing foraged upon the landscape materials on the subject property to the point where many plant species have been eaten to the ground and/or killed.

The property neighbors to the North have their garden enclosing, Hartford Street "side" fence at their property/road ROW line and outside of the "front yard" building setback line. The proposed project fence would originate at the southwest, outside corner of the northern neighbor's fence, matching their garden enclosure installation. The neighbor's have been contacted and are in agreement with the proposed project as designed.

The proposed variance is minor in nature and mimics several of the neighborhood, corner lot fence installations located on the "sides" of their property. Please consider accepting the requested variance.

Sincerely,


CITY OF WORTHINGTON
DRAWINGS NO. BZA 36-2020
Macrae ARCHitecture, LLC
74 Orchard Drive
Worthington, OH 43085

## 74 Orchard Dr.
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DATE 08/26/2020


Target Install Date:
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CITY OF WORTHINGTON

Case \# BZA 37-2020
Date Received 8/27/2020
Fee $\$ 25$
Meeting Date
Filing Deadline $\qquad$

1. Property Location 98 East New England Avenue Worthington OH 43085
2. Present/Proposed Use Variance from City Zoning

## 3. Zoning District $\mathrm{R}-10$

4. Applicant Mark and Susan Taylor

Address 98 East New England Avenue Worthington OH 43085
Phone Numbers) (614) 562-0831 / (614) 562-1546
Email mtaylor4242@gmail.com
5. Property Owner Mark and Susan Taylor

Address 98 East New England Avenue
Phone Numbers) (614) 562-0831 / (614) 562-1546
Email mtaylor4242@gmail.com
6. Action Requested (ie. type of variance) Installation of handrails within the minimum allowable distance
7. Project Details:
a) Description Two handrails
b) Expected Completion Date 10/1/2020
c) Approximate Cost $\$ 1000$

PLEASE READ THE FOLLOWING STATEMENTAND SIGN YOUR NAME:
The information contained in this application and in all attachments is true and correct to the best of my knowledge. I further acknowledge that I have familiarized myself with all applicable sections of the Worthington Codified Ordinances and will comply with all applicable regulations.


98 E. New England Ave.

Elizabeth Cooksey
Frederick Pfarr III
Daniel Bare \& Tracy Steinbrenner
Frank \& Kathy Cordray
Stephan \& Tiffany Poteet
Anish \& Bharkha Mistry

93 E. New England Ave. Worthington, Ohio 43085
96 E. New England Ave. Worthington, Ohio 43085
95 E. New England Ave. Worthington, Ohio 43085
668 Hartford St.
675 Morning St.
100 E. New England Ave.

We intend to install two handrails onto our front step. This will enable anyone accessing our front door to have a handrail to assist them in accessing the front step. It will also assist people when there are weather conditions, including ice, rain, and wind help themselves up the steps.

Note that the step dimensions are $71 / 4$ " high, $131 / 2$ " deep, and $46 \frac{1}{2}$ " wide.


## 98 E. New England Ave.
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## CITY OF WORTHINGTON

DRAWINGS NO. BZA 37-2020
DATE 08/27/2020


## From 2002 Approval

## Gary J. Alexander

Architect
1324 Dublin Road, Columbus, Ohio 43215
(614) 487-0637

Fax (614) 486-4040

BOARD OF ZONING APPEALS APPLICATION
Supporting Statement -98 E. New England Ave.
May 9, 2002
We are requesting a variance to encroach into the front yard setback in order to construct a covered porch. This porch provides shelter at the front door, is a gesture of welcome to those visiting the property, and integrates this house into the fabric of the street.

The proposed porch will be constructed on the existing stoop and be open. The porch will encroach approximately $4^{\prime}-8 \frac{1}{2}$ " into the required front yard setback. The homes directly to the east of this property have porches that appear to project further into the setback than this proposed porch. This porch is compatible with the existing streetscape and the architectural character of the residence. This addition will in no way adversely affect the delivery of governmental services to the property.


SIDE (WEST) ELEVATION
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FRONT (SOUTH) ELEVATION


SIDE (EAST) ELEVATION scale $=1=1.0$


## CITY OF WORTHINGTON

City of Worthington BOARD OF ZONING APPEALS APPLICATION
Meetings - First Thursday of Every Month

Case \# 32A 38-2020 Date Received 09-02-2020 Fee 45.00 pod Meeting Date $10-01-2020$ Filing Deadline

1. Property Location

## 1177 MacGregor West Ave.

2. Present/Proposed Use Home / Residence
3. Zoning District $\qquad$
4. Applicant $\square$
Address $\qquad$ 1177 MacGregor West Ave.

Phone Number (s) $\square$ 614-540-4022

Email $\qquad$
5. Property Owner $\square$
Address
1177 MacGregor West Ave.
Phone Number (s)
614-540-4022
Email
house@bbuss.com
6. Action Requested (ie. type of variance) Variance of $20^{\prime}$ on rear setback
7. Project Details:
to be a 10 ' setback to allow for addition
a) Description $\qquad$
b) Expected Completion Date

December 31, 2020
c) Approximate Cost $\qquad$
PLEASE READ THE FOLLOWING STATEMENTAND SIGN YOUR NAME:
The information contained in this application and in all attachments is true and correct to the best of my knowledge. I further acknowledge that I have familiarized myself with all applicable sections of the Worthington Codified Ordinances and will comply with all applicable regulations.

$\frac{8 / 28 / 2020}{\text { Date }}$

## ABUTTING PROPERTY OWNERS <br> FOR <br> 1177 MacGregor West Ave.

John \& Judith Freed Daniel \& Peggy Kim Eung \& Kyong Lee Randall Doherty Ji Zhe Jin \& Hua Zi Bai Elizabeth Allison

1176 MacGregor West Ave. Worthington, OH 43085
6991 Perry Dr. Worthington, OH 43085
6981 Perry Dr. Worthington, OH 43085
6966 Perry Dr. Worthington, OH 43085
1165 MacGregor West Ave. Worthington, OH 43085
1162 MacGregor West Ave. Worthington, OH 43085

## 1. Supporting Statement:

(1) Without the variance, our property will continue to be a good home, but lacks a screen room, which we've always wanted. Within the Perry Highlands subdivision, this home is in a minority of homes that currently lacks a screen room/three-season room/similar, and therefore the addition of such would also add to the increased functionality of the home and property value within the surrounding development. It is our expectation that this addition would add to the resale appeal and price.
(2) The variance is not substantial because it will not greatly impact the neighbors. We are on a corner lot, and our rear lot line (south side) is along the side lot line for the neighbor at 6966 Perry Dr., and we have discussed the project with him and he is supportive. We are not seeking a variance to the standard setback from the side lot line (east side) shared with 1165 MacGregor West Ave., nor toward either our primary front (along MacGregor West Ave to the north) or our "second front" (along Perry Drive to the east).
(3) The essential character of the neighborhood will not be substantially altered. The purpose of the variance is so that we can build a screen room. The majority of homes in our neighborhood already have some form of screen room, sun room, three-season room, or similar. Therefore, this addition would be consistent in keeping with the existing character of the neighborhood. Since this improvement keeps with the existing character of the neighborhood, adjoining properties will not suffer any detriment.
(4) This variance will not impact the delivery of governmental services.
(5) We as homeowners were not aware of the zoning setback when we purchased our home in 2011, and had planned to save up for building a screen room since that was the only home feature on our "wish list" that this home did not have. After the purchase, we learned of the zoning restriction on setback distances, and therefore had set this idea aside. Recently, I called the Worthington government offices to explore the idea of building a detached "accessory structure" as a screen room. In that conversation, l explained the reason was because we couldn't add the room onto the house like we wanted, and learned that we could apply for a variance, and that odds were good it would be approved so long as the neighbors are supportive. Therefore, we are applying for this variance in order to build the screen room we've always wanted and originally intended to build when we purchased our home.
(6) As a corner lot, we suffer from the hardship of only having one "side" yard and having a rear yard that is entirely within the setback distance required by the zoning restrictions. It is our understanding that based on the existing footprint of our house and the zoning setbacks on all sides, the only places we could build any meaningful space without a setback are on top of the garage or the ten (10) feed directly in front of our garage door (as this is our "second front"). Neither option is desirable nor feasible for meeting the goal of a screen room where we can spend our time and where we can be responsibly physically distant from people in this time of

## 1177 Macgregor West Ave.
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the pandemic while still being social with others, which we could do in a new screen room without being eaten by bugs nor rained upon.
(7) As the spirit and intent behind the zoning requirement is presumably the desire to have homes far enough apart that people can enjoy their back yards, granting this variance would respect that spirit because more than the normal side lot setbacks will still be preserved since this screen room will be ten (10) feet from the side lot lines for both of the nearest neighbors, as while this is in our rear yard, it is to their sides, and therefore the spirit of the zoning requirement is met, while also providing substantial justice against the hardship we have as the owners of a corner lot which have more of our property overall withing the zoning setbacks.
2. Site Plan: Annotated Site Plant attached (1 page).
3. Elevations: Rough elevation sketches with approximate dimensions attached (3 pages).
4. Floor Plan and/or Landscape Plan: Not required unless determined by staff to be necessary.
5. Discuss with neighbors: We've mentioned this to some of our neighbors, and will ensure we have talked to everyone adjacent to and across from our property before the public hearing.

Sample image of intended addition: We'll have a single-sloped roof, different door placement, and no surrounding deck, but this screen room is otherwise similar to what we are planning.


Cedar Trimmed with closed knee wall
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City of Worthỉngton BOARD OF ZONING APPEALS APPLICATION
Meetings - First Thursday of Every Month

Case \# B2A 391020
Date Received 09103 2020
Fee $\qquad$

1. Property Location $\qquad$ 184 Orforat st.
2. Present/Proposed Use $\qquad$ Single Family Home
3. Zoning District $\qquad$ $R-10$
4. Applicant $\qquad$ James Ross

Address 6120 Crystal Valley Drive Catena Oh, 843021
Phone Number (s) $\qquad$ 6142045661

Email Jimr.rbal@gmail:com $\qquad$
5. Property Owner $\qquad$ ANWE Riley
Address $\qquad$ 784 Oxfors st.

Phone Number (s) $\quad 614 \quad 264 \quad 6588$
Email $\qquad$ aen-riley eyahoo.com
6. Action Requested (ie. type of variance) relief from front Yard Set back
$\qquad$ For Front Porch
7. Project Details:
a) Description ADD New front Porch
$\qquad$
b) Expected Completion Date $\qquad$ mid December
c) Approximate Cost

PLEASE READ THE FOLLOWING STATEMENTAND SIGN YOUR NAME:
The information contained in this application and in all attachments is true and correct to the best of my knowledge. I further acknowledge that I have familiarized myself with all applicable sections of the Worthington Codified Ordinances and will comply with all applicable regulations.


784 Oxford St.

| Name | Name 2 | Address | Citystatezip |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Charles Benjamin Cooper | Carly Edelstein | 790 Oxford St. | Worthington, OH 43085 |
| Worthington Presbyterian Church |  | 773 High St. | Worthington, OH 43085 |
| Edwin \& Chasity Hofmann |  | 787 Oxford St. | Worthington, OH 43085 |
| Scott \& Holly Heitkamp |  | 783 Oxford St. | Worthington, OH 43085 |

## To: Architectural Review Board (City of Worthington)

Re: Supporting statement for new covered front porch \& replacement windows at 784 Oxford Street
Dear Worthington ARB members,
Thank you for taking the time to review our proposed project at our home. We have lived in our house since 1991 and love our home and neighborhood. We would like to replace our current front entrance concrete stoop with a covered porch. Currently, our front stoop is not large enough for us to have a chair(s) on it and we would love to be able to sit out front and interact with the neighbors more. We also hope it will help with keeping our stoop more ice free in the winter and add to the curb appeal of our house.

We are also remodeling our downstairs bathroom and kitchen so we can age in place in the future. We would like to replace and upgrade our current windows in those two rooms to easier to open and more efficient Marvin windows. We would also like to have the same grilles-between-the-glass that we currently have in those rooms. All of the front and side windows in our house have grilles-between-theglass so we would like to keep that feature for ease of cleaning.

Thanks in advance for considering our request and let us know if you have any questions,
Anne Riley \& Wilma Wabnitz

## 784 Oxford Street

Worthington, OH 43085

## 784 Oxford St.
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CITY OF WORTHINGTON
DRAWINGS NO. BZA 39-2020
ROSS BUILDERS \& DESIGN
6120 CRYSTAL VALLEY DR


## ROSS BUILDERS \& DESIGN

6120 CRYSTAL VALLEY DR
GALENA, OH 43021
porch 'ALENA, "ONT ElCuntion
Scale: $14^{\prime \prime}=!^{\prime}$
Riley Residence


## ROSS BULLDERS \& DESIGN

6120 CRYSTAL VALLEY DR
GALENA, OH 43021
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CITY OF WORTHINGTON
DRAWINGS NO. BZA 39-2020
DATE 09/03/2020

## ROSS BUILDERS \& DESIGN

6120 CRYSTAL VALLEY DR


City of Worthington
BOARD OF ZONING APPEALS APPLICATION
Meetings - First Thursday of Every Month

Case \# I22A 40-2020
Date Received 09/03/2020
Fee 425.00 ,
Meeting Date $10 / 01 / 2020$
Filing Deadline $\qquad$

1. Property Location _ 5716 Foster Ave., Worthington, OH 43085
2. Present/Proposed Use

Residential
3. Zoning District $\qquad$ R-10
4. Applicant $\qquad$ John S. Jones

Address $\qquad$

Phone Number(s) $\qquad$ 614-885-0161

Email jsj@jsjlaw.com
5. Property Owner $\qquad$

Address $\qquad$
Phone Number(s) $\qquad$
Email $\qquad$
6. Action Requested (ie. type of variance) $\qquad$ replace fence within set-back
7. Project Details:
a) Description Replace existing chain link fence
b) Expected Completion Date $\qquad$
c) Approximate Cost \$8,000

## PLEASE READ THE FOLLOWING STATEMENTAND SIGN YOUR NAME:

The information contained in this application and in all attachments is true and correct to the best of my knowledge. I further acknowledge that I have familiarized myself with all applicable sections of the Worthington Codified Ordinances and will comply with all applicable regulations.

$\frac{8-3 \cdot 20}{\text { Date }}$

## ABUTTING PROPERTY OWNERS

FOR
5716 Foster Ave.

| Whitney \& David Tapp | 5722 Foster Ave. | Worthington, OH 43085 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| John Ficek | 5723 Foster Ave. | Worthington, OH 43085 |
| Joseph Curran | 259 Loveman Ave. | Worthington, OH 43085 |
| Resident | 5717 Foster Ave. | Worthington, OH 43085 |
| Patricia Hosking | 5709 Foster Ave. | Worthington, OH 43085 |
| Jonathan Bird \& Rosalba Gomez Gutierrez | 5710 Foster Ave. | Worthington, OH 43085 |
| Anne \& Eric Mateo Perdomo | 253 Park Blvd. | Worthington, OH 43085 |

Board of Zoning Appeals<br>Attachment to Application For<br>John S. Jones<br>5716 Foster Ave.<br>Worthington, OH 43085

I want to replace the existing chain link fence (which is more than 50 years old) with a new aluminum fence along the same fence lines.

The property is zoned $\mathrm{R}-10$
(1) The fence will increase the value and usability of my back yard. I have a dog, and a fence is necessary.
(2) The variance is virtually inconsequential since the new fence will simply replace the old fence along the same lines.
(3) The essential character of the neighborhood will not be changed; nor will any adjoining properties suffer a detriment. Currently, there are fences along the entire length of the alley.
(4) The variance will not affect the delivery of any governmental services.
(5) The property was purchased over 40 years ago, and the existing fence was there when I purchased the property.
(6) I am told by the City that a variance is the only way to deal with this situation.
(7) I do not know what the spirit and intent of a zoning requirement is that would require placement of a fence in the middle of the back yard instead of around the perimeter :)

CITY OF WORTHINGTON
DRAWINGS NO. BZA 40-2020
DATE 09/03/2020

## 5716 Foster Ave.
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4:Bisck Alum foure
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City of Worthington BOARD OF ZONING APPEALS APPLICATION
Meetings - First Thursday of Every Month
PLANNING \& BUILDING

Case \# B2A 41-2020
Date Received 09/04/2020
Fee $425,00 \mathrm{pa}$
Meeting Date $10 / 01 / 2020$
Filing Deadline


1. Property Location $\qquad$ 655 Hanford
2. Present/Proposed Use $\qquad$
single Farnily Home
3. Zoning District $\qquad$ $R-10$
4. Applicant $\qquad$ James Ross

Address $6 / 20$ Crystal Valley Dr Galena Ohio 43021
Phone Numbers) $\qquad$ 6142045661

Email Jimrirbdegmail.com
5. Property Owner $\qquad$ Daniel: sarah Mullen
Address 655 Hartford street, worthington OH 43085
Phone Numbers) $614-477-4677$ $\qquad$
Email danmullen 51 egmail.com / sarahemullente grail.
$\qquad$
6. Action Requested (ie. type of variance) $\qquad$ Side Yard Setback? We
from property line $+/-$
7. Project Details:
a) Description Build $24^{\prime} \times 24^{\prime}$ Gavage attach to the south sidle of
b) Expected Completion Date $\qquad$ Feb 2021
c) Approximate Cost $\qquad$ $35 k$

PLEASE READ THE FOLLOWING STATEMENTAND SIGN YOUR NAME:
The information contained in this application and in all attachments is true and correct to the best of my knowledge. I further acknowledge that I have familiarized myself with all applicable sections of the Worthington Codified Ordinances and will comply with all applicable regulations.


$$
\frac{9-3-20}{\text { Date }}
$$

Date

## Abutting Property Owners List for <br> 655 Hartford St.

Ponsun Allen
Robert Bloomer
Resident
James Smith III
Joseph \& Shelby Gavin
Ned Alexander
Lucas \& Lindsay McComas

665 Hartford St.
12318 Ivy Ridge PI.
48 E. New England Ave.
49 E. New England Ave.
630 Hartford St.
654 Hartford St.
662 Hartford St.

Worthington, OH 43085
Galena, OH 43021
Worthington, OH 43085
Worthington, OH 43085
Worthington, OH 43085
Worthington, OH 43085
Worthington, OH 43085

My Wife \& I bought this house in 2011. Having moved from a large city where we parked in the street, a garage was not a prime concern of ours. As our family has grown throughout the years, however, adding a garage onto our house has become an increasing priority. First \& foremost, a garage will greatly add to the value \& marketability of our home. Also, having had both of our cars broken into multiple times and our son's locked bike stolen from our front porch, a garage will give us a muchneeded layer of safety \& security. We feel that adding a garage will allow us to increase storage capacity for us as well. It will allow us to better maintain value of both of our vehicles if they are stored inside. We love the historic nature of our home and our beautiful yard with several large trees. We've thought carefully about how we can add a garage that matches our home and has a minimally obtrusive footprint to our yard. This is the best option at doing just that.

Sincerely,
Daniel and Sarah Mullen

## 655 Hartford St.
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POINTS OF INTEREST: THE ADIOINERSS DRNV ENCROACHES ONTO THE SUQJECT PROPERTY. THE FENCE IS NOT CONFORMANCE WTH THE LEGAL DESCRIPTION.

## ROSS BUILDERS \& DESIGN

6120 CRYSTAL VALLEY DR
GALENA, OH 43021
OPTION 'A'


CITY OF WORTHINGTON

DRAWINGS NO. BZA 41-2020

DATE 09/04/2020

## 620 CRYSTAL VALLEEY DR <br> GALENA, OH 43021

OOTION 'A'
South ElEVATION
Seale $3 / 16^{\prime \prime}=1^{\prime}$


CITY OF WORTHINGTON
DRAWINGS NO. BZA 41-2020
DATE 09/04/2020

```
ROSS BUILDERS \& DESIGN
```

6120 CRYSTAL VALLĖY DR
GALENA, OH 43021
OPTION H
E.AST EIEVMTION

Seate "itco $=1^{\prime}$


## ROSS BUILDERS \& DESIGN

6120 CRYSTAL VALLEY DR GALENA. OH 43021
$\qquad$
WEST ELEVATION
Scale: $3 / 16^{\prime \prime}=1^{\prime \prime}$


Case \#B2A42-2020
Date Received 04,04,202p
Fee $\$ 25.00$
Meeting Date $10 / 01 / 2020$
Filing Deadiine $\qquad$

1. Property Location $\qquad$
2. Present/Proposed Use SINGLE FAMILYDWELLING
3. Zoning District (R-10) LOW-DENSITY RESIDENTIAL
4. Applicant JONATHANLEONARD, ARCHTECT

Address $\qquad$
3101 DERBYRD. COLUMBUS, OH 43221
Phone Number(s) (6 14) 365-1424
Email $\qquad$ jonathanoleonardrettig-arch.com
5. Property Owner $\qquad$ M5. SALLY PAYNE

Address 566 PARK BLVD., NORTHINGTON, OH 43085

Phone Number(s) (614)929-4911
Email $\qquad$
6. Action Requested (ie. type of variance) AREA VARIANCES FOR BUILDING SETBACKS

## 7. Project Details:

INTERIOR RENOVATION 4 ADDITION TO INCLUDE RELOCATION OF
a) Description GARAGE \& EXTENSION OF EXISTING ROOF LINE
b) Expected Completion Date JUNE 2021
c) Approximate Cost $\$ 150,000$

## PLEASE READ THE FOLLOWING STATEMENTAND SIGN YOUR NAME:

The information contained in this application and in all attachments is true and correct to the best of my knowledge. I further acknowledge that I have familiarized myself with all applicable sections of the Worthington Codified Ordinances and will comply with all applicable regulations.
 BE PROYIDED IF NECESSARY
9/4/2020
Date

## ABUTTING PROPERTY OWNERS <br> FOR

566 Park Blvd.

Name<br>William West<br>William Shroy<br>David \& Vicki Cap<br>Matthew \& Gina Bjelac<br>David and Peggy Hayes<br>Resident<br>7Rent.com LTD<br>Neil Tolbert

Name Address
563 Meadoway Park
574 Park Blvd.
569 Meadoway Park
555 Meadoway Park
571 Park Blvd.
560 Park Blvd.
334 W. Third St.
563 Park Blvd.

Citystatezip
Worthington, OH 43085
Worthington, OH 43085
Worthington, OH 43085
Worthington, OH 43085
Worthington, OH 43085
Worthington, OH 43085
Worthington, OH 43085
Worthington, OH 43085

566 PARK BOULEVARD
Worthington, OH

LEGAL DESCRIPTION
Pt Res B Lot 14
Colonial Hills 2
Parcel 100-001709-00

ZONING
R-10 Residential
Single Family Dwelling
City of Worthington
Worthington City School District

Setbacks
Front: $\quad 30^{\prime}-0^{\prime \prime}$
Rear: $\quad 30^{\prime}-0^{\prime \prime}$
Side: $8^{\prime}-0^{\prime \prime}$

Height: 2-1/2 Stories, 30
Style: Cape Cod
Built: 1950

Lot: $49^{\prime} \times 135^{\prime} / 0.1519$ Acres
Level 1: $\quad 800 \mathrm{SF}$
Level 2: $\quad 207$ SF
Total: $\quad 1,007 \mathrm{SF}$

CITY OF WORTHINGTON

DRAWINGS NO. BZA 42-2020

DATE 09/04/2020

SUPPORTING STATEMENT
BZA (Board of Zoning Appeals)
City of Worthington, Planning \& Zoning

$$
9 / 4 / 2020
$$

Applicant proposes to renovate an existing single-family home on the subject property at 566 Park Boulevard, Worthington, Ohio 43085. The property is currently zoned R-10 Low Density Residential. Applicant also requests by this application, the following three (3) area variances for building setback from front and side yards respectively.

## VARIANCES REQUESTED

## 1. Side yard Setback (West) <8-ft

Existing garage setback is approximately 7-ft at closest point (not paraliel) to property line. Requesting allowance of addition to setback 3-ft from property line at closest point (not parallel)

## 2. Sum of Side yard <12-ft

Existing Sum of Side yard approx. $12-\mathrm{ft}$. Requesting allowance of Sum of Side yard equal to 8 -ft ( $5-\mathrm{ft}$ east + $3-\mathrm{ft}$ west)

## 3. Front yard Setback (South) <30-ft

Existing bay window and entry stoop are approximately $26^{\prime}-4^{\prime \prime}$ setback from Right of Way. Requesting allowance of open front porch to average front setback of 20-ft from R.O.W. An exhibit is provided for comparison to the existing properties immediately adjacent

## TEST OF PRACTICAL DIFFICULTIES

1. Whether the property in question will yield a reasonable return or whether there can be any beneficial use of the property without the variance;
Without the variances, the property will remain as it exists today without improvement. As a matter of process, a series of alternate designs were considered with the addition extending further into the rear yard. Potential solutions caused significant increase in cost of construction due to additional foundations/excavation, and/or reduction of rear yard and increased site coverage.

## 566 Park Blvd.



100-001709 04/25/2017
2. Whether the variance is substantial;

Specific consideration was given in fact to preventing the variance from being substantial. Construction encroaching a net 4 -ft toward the west seemed the most appropriate solution as the alteration will not cause any hardship to the adjacent property. The addition will not cause any additional shadow or significantly impact on the property to the west. Prominent daylighting, especially in the evening, will cast shadows to the north and east which remain unchanged with this development. Further, site drainage is mitigated through existing underground storm collection systems from a rear yard collection basin and downspout collection lines feeding directly into the storm sewer at the street (Park Blvd).
3. Whether the essential character of the neighborhood would be substantially altered or whether adjoining properties would suffer a substantial detriment as a result of the variance;
The addition and design of the renovation was developed conscious of the Worthington Design Guidelines and a collection of existing neighborhood precedent.
4. Whether the variance would adversely affect the delivery of governmental services (e.g. water, sewer, garbage);
There will be no adverse affect on the delivery of governmental services to the Property if these variance requests are approved. There are adequate public services and facilities, as well as utilities to the Property, and fire and police safety responders and vehicles will have adequate access to the Property and adjacent properties.
5. Whether the property owner purchased the property with knowledge of the zoning restriction;
The owner recently purchased the property spring 2020, so the zoning conditions and restrictions were certainly in place at this point. Not knowing what the owner's awareness is of zoning restrictions generally, their intent was certainly to improve on the existing property to accommodate their use.
6. Whether the property owner's predicament feasibly can be obviated through some method other than a variance; and
In order to develop the Property in conformance with essentiai character of the neighborhood and adhere to best practices of residential development, the variances are necessary.
7. Whether the spirit and intent behind the zoning requirement would be observed and substantial justice done by granting the variance.
The interest of this project is certainly to adhere to the intent of the requirements, and substantial justice may be done by granting the requested variances.

## REVIEW EXHIBITS

- Site Plans (existing and proposed),
- Proposed Elevations and
- Proposed Floor Plans
are provided as exhibits to provide clarity and to aid discussion on the proposed renovation.


## NEIGHBOR DISCUSSIONS

In the interest of being a good neighbor, conversations have been initiated with adjacent property owners to introduce the intended construction. The proposed improvements have been positively discussed and so far have attracted the interest of an audience further down the street with similar footprints and house plans interested in their own potential future improvement.
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## PAYNE RESIDENCE

566 PARK BLVD.

NORTHINGTON OHO 43OB5-3742 PARCEL ID: 100-001709-00 BULLT: 1450
0.1519 ACRES

LEGAL DESCRIPTION
LOT 144 PART OF VACATED ALLET
RESUBDIVISION OF PART OF COLONIA
HILLS PLATNO. 2 AND PART OF
SUBDIVISION OF RESERVE"E" OF
COLONIAL HILLS PLAT NO: 2
P.B. 21 PG. 12

CITY: WORTHINGTON
COUNTY: FRANKLIN
FLOOD ZONE $X$
MAFPANEL /WFO: 39049 C 015 aK
SCHEMATIC


PRELIMINARY SITE PLAN
AS1.0a

LEONARD DESIGNETTIGOL


## EXISTING STREET ELEVATION $\quad 1^{\prime \prime}=10^{\prime}-0^{\prime \prime}$



SOUTH - STREET ELEVATION $\quad 1^{\prime \prime}=10^{\prime}-0^{\prime \prime}$
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# City of Worthington BOARD OF ZONING APPEALS APPLICATION <br> Meetings - First Thursday of Every Month 

$\qquad$

1. Property Location 6642 Masefield st.
2. Present/Proposed Use Single Family Hame
3. Zoning District $\qquad$ R- 10
4. Applicant $\qquad$
James Ross
Address G120 Crystal Valley Dr. Galena Ohio 43021
Phone Number (s) 614 204 5661
Email_Jimr.rbd@gmail.com
5. Property Owner Mark 4 Lee Williams

Address 6642 Moseficla st
Phone Numbers) _6/4 557-0472
Email mwilliamst 75 eyahor. com
6. Action Requested (ie. type of variance) Rear Yard Set Bact
7. Project Details:
a) Description ADD Covered Porch where Ex. deck is \& ADD To Ex. Family Room
b) Expected Completion Date Apri/-M/ 2021
c) Approximate Cost 80K

PLEASE READ THE FOLLOWING STATEMENTAND SIGN YOUR NAME:
The information contained in this application and in all attachments is true and correct to the best of my knowledge. I further acknowledge that I have familiarized myself with all applicable sections of the Worthington Codified Ordinances and will comply with all applicable regulations.


Applicant (Signature)


Abutting Property Owners List for 6642 Masefield St.

| Danielle Remlinger | 6660 Masefield St. | Worthington, OH 43085 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Matthew \& Beth Niskanen | 561 Lambourne Ave. | Worthington, OH 43085 |
| Nancy Barr | 554 Thackeray Ave. | Worthington, OH 43085 |
| Bill \& Betty Huckaba | 6622 Masefield St. | Worthington, OH 43085 |
| Michael \& Wanda Davis | 6635 Masefield St. | Worthington, OH 43085 |
| Mary Boyle | 6645 Masefield St. | Worthington, OH 43085 |
| Thomas \& Carey Nash | 6655 Masefield St. | Worthington, OH 43085 |

Variance supporting Statement:

1,2 The variance is not substantial when considering the previous work that has been done with the house. The southern addition is essentially adding a roof over the exiting deck footprint which will allow the area to be screened to keep mosquitoes away and increase usable space during the era of coronavirus.

The northern expansion is being driven by a kitchen remodeling but no variance would be needed at all if a normal 10 foot side setback were applied. This is a corner lot has the same issues as the when the deck was added 33 yrs. ago. This addition will add to the home usability as it will allow many ADA features to included in the remodeling project.
3. The character of the neighborhood will not be affected.
4. There will be no impact on government services.
5. This request is within the guidelines of the properties first variance request 33 yrs ago.
6. As was the case with the original variance being a corner lot does not permit any improvements to the property if the east side is designated the backyard and a 30 ft setback is required.
7. The zoning variance request does not affect the basic nature of the property. The 10 foot setback that was required when the deck was originally build will not chance, the area will be covered with a roof, which was not an unexpected future change at the time of the original variance.

Thanks for your attention to this request.

Regards,

Mark Williams

## 6642 Masefield St.



100-004502 04/26/2017

For: Mark and Lee Williams
6642 masefield st.
Ex. Site Plan


For: Mark and Lee Williams
6642 Masefield st.




North Elevation $3 / 6^{\prime \prime}=1^{\prime}$

## ROSS BUILDERS \& DESIGN

6120 CRYSTAL VALLEY DR
GALENA, OH 43021


EMST Elevation $3 /$ " $^{\prime \prime}=1$

City of Worthington
BOARD OF ZONING APPEALS
APPLICATION
Meetings - First Thursday of Every Month

Case \# _BZA 44-2020
Date Received 9/4/2020
Fee $\$ 50$
Meeting Date
Filing Deadline

1. Property Location 6/30 Liawarth RO, worthinglom of
2. Present/Proposed Use Weady't Restaurant Dunks Lestarrat with Drive The
3. Zoning District No Change
4. Applicant Tushar Patel fo Goudal Linurnth Le

Address Po box 62a, Le wis Center oft 43005
Phone Numbers) $267 \quad 2497314$
Email $\qquad$ TSHRPTLE GMAIL.com
5. Property Owner Le see: Gonadal Linwath LLC

$$
\text { 10 B } x 629 \text {, Lewis lent oft 4303' }
$$

Phone Number (s)
2672497374

Email TSHR ITL ©GMAIL. Com
6. Action Requested (ie. type of variance) $\frac{V_{a} \operatorname{ain}^{2} u_{s} f \text { storage of digital menuboand }}{\text { applied to the } A R B}$
7. Project Details:
a) Description Variances for signage and digital menubard us applied $A$
b) Expected Completion Date 11/30/20
c) Approximate Cost $\qquad$
PLEASE READ THE FOLLOWING STATEMENTAND SIGN YOUR NAME:
The information contained in this application and in all attachments is true and correct to the best of my knowledge. I further acknowledge that I have familiarized myself with all applicable sections of the Worthington Codified Ordinances and will comply with all applicable regulations.


Applicant (Signature)


## ABUTTING PROPERTY OWNERS

FOR
6130 Linworth Rd.

1621 Linworth Properties
United Dairy Farmers
United Dairy Farmers
Linworth Duchess LLC
Linworth Plaza LLC
Cambria Ct. LLC

2425 N. High St.
3955 Montgomery Rd.
2204 W. Dublin-Granville Rd.
447 James Pkwy.
16130 Ventura Blvd Ste 590
673 High St., Suite 204

Columbus, OH 43202
Cincinnati, OH 45212
Worthington, OH 43085
Newark, OH 43056
Encino, CA 61436
Worthington, OH 43085

We are requesting additional wall signs and larger free standing signs because there will be two brands at this location: Dunkin Donuts and Baskin Robbins. Each of those brands signage has to match the size of the other brand. Given the layout of the building, we have identified the location and sizing of the proposed signage. As we are unable to put our colors on the building (orange, pink, white) that would promote awareness, we are requesting the proposed signage, that the ARB has approved. Thank you for your consideration.

Tushar Patel

## 6130 Linworth Rd.



100-006128 04/22/2017


## FRONT (NORTH) ELEVATION

Scale: $1 / 8^{\prime \prime}=1^{\prime}-0{ }^{\prime \prime}$

CITY OF WORTHINGTON
AR 54-2020
DRAWING NO. CU 07-2020

DATE 08-27-2020

## Colors and Style Only

Approved
Architectural Review Board Municipal Planning Commission City of Worthington


AR 54-2020
DRAWINGS NO. BZA 44-2020
DRAWING NO. CU 07-2020
DATE 09/04/2020
DATE 08-27-2020

Architectural Review Board
Municipal Planning Commission
City of Worthington
Date 09/10/2020



NOTES:

1. DRAWING IS FOR INSPECTION PURPOSES ONLY. ACTUAL PART SHALL CONFORM TO

ELECTRONIC FIL DO NOTSCALE-WORK TO DIMENSIONS.
ULESOTHERWISE SPECIFIED: FEATIRES ABOUCENTELINE ARE SYMMERIC TO WITHIN $\pm .015$ OVER ALL
DIMENSIONS MUSTMEERIOLERANCES INTIC TLOCK
3. PARTS MUST BECLEAN FREEOF SHARP EDGES. BURRS AND GOUGES.



CITY OF WORTHINGTON

Drive Thru Menu Board


Municipal Planning Commission
City of Worthington
Date 09/10/2020
$\qquad$
Clerk

Preview Board


CITY OF WORTHINGTON
AR 54-2020
DRAWING NO. CU 07-2020

DATE 08-27-2020



Option \#2
Opaque Background
Approved
Architectural Review Board
Municipal Planning Commission City of Worthington Date 09/10/2020
Dignda Batar
Clerk

1. $151 / 2^{\prime \prime} \times .08^{\prime \prime} \times 11 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ ALUMINUM SPACER FRAME 2. FLAT ALUMINUM RETAINER
2. ALUMINUM ANGLE FRAME SUPPORT
3. GETETRA MAX 7100 K WHITE LED'S, AS REQUIRED (OR GEREPLACEMENT EQUIVALENT)

## 5. LED POWER SUPPLY, AS REQUIRED

6. INTERNAL DISCONNECT SWITCH
7. SUPPORT TUBE
8. FACES TO BE FLAT CLEAR SOLAR GRADE POLYCARBONATE ZND SURFACE PAINTED DECORATION
9. "DUNKIN"' LETTERS TO BE EMBOSSED
10. REGISTERED "R" AND "DRIVE THRU" TO BE FLAT GRAPHIC

SIGNAGE AREA: +/-30 SQ. FT
DESCRIPTION
(1) DOUBLE SIDED MONUMENT SIGN TO REPLACE EXISTING "WENDY'S" MONUMENT BOX SIGN IOCATE "DUNKIN" SIGN EXISTING BRICK MONUMENT STRUCTURE VERIFY EXISTING SIGN OPENING IN FIELD.
11. $3 \mathrm{M} \# 3630-3123$ DUNKIN ORANGE TRANSLUCENT FILM 2ND SURFACE
12. $3 \mathrm{M} \# 3630-1379$ DUNKIN' PINK TRANSLUCENT FILM 2ND SURFACE
13. BACKGROUND COLOR 403 WHITE BACK-SPRAY

13A. OPTION: SIGN FACE 2ND SURFACE WHITE FACE/ NIGHT FACE BACKGROUND COLOR 403 WHITE BACK-SPRAY, BACKED UP WITH OPAQUE SILVER FOR COMPLETE OPAQUE FINISH FOR NIGHT

## ADDITIONAL NOTES:

ARTWORK FONT IS "DUNKIN SANS DISPLAY"
EXTERIOR FINISH: PAINT PANTONE 7540 C
INTERIOR FINISH: PAINTED REFLECTIVE WHITE
ACCENT FINISH / $11 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ CABINET W/ RETAINER : PAINT PANTONE 7540C RETAINERS / FACE REMOVABLE FOR SERVICE ACCESS
HANGER BAR INCLUDED ON $6^{\prime \prime} \times 12^{\prime \prime}$ FACE
PLATE SIZE: $3 / 4^{\prime \prime} \times 12^{\prime \prime} \times 12^{\prime \prime}$
BOLT PATTERN: $10^{\prime \prime} / 10^{\prime \prime}$
ELECTRICAL: (1) 20-AMP / 120 VOLT CIRCUIT
PERIMETER ANGLE: $11 / 2^{\prime \prime} \times 11 / 2^{\prime \prime} \times 3 / 16^{\prime \prime}$
U.L. LISTED

OPTION 2:

| SIZE | H1 | W1 | D1 | S.F. (BOX) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SMALL |  |  |  |  |
| MEDIUM |  |  |  |  |
| LARGE |  |  |  |  |
| custom | - | - | - | - |
| PMS |  |  | VINYL / PAINT |  |
| PMS 165 - DUNKIN' ORANGE |  |  | 3м \#3630-3123 |  |
| PMS 279 DUUNKIN PINK |  |  | 3M \#3630-1379 |  |
| PMS 75400 - DUNKIN' GRAY |  |  | 3M \#7725-41 |  |
| PMS COOL GRAY 1 C |  |  | 3M \#7725-11 |  |
| DATE: |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { DUNKIN } \\ & \text { CONSTRU } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DS IN } \\ & \text { SFR } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |



